



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-04-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRP No. 2276 of 2026 and CMP No.9872 of 2026

1. M/s.Sri Raghavendra Housing Private
Ltd
Rep by Managing Director,
M.Raneerselvam, Shope No.3, New
No.131, Old No.66, Ground Floor, Sri
theyagaraya Road, T.Road, Chennai 600
017

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Shri Rajasthani Jain Samaj (Mambalam)
Rep by its Secretry, no.131, (old no.66) Sr.
Thyagaraja Road, T.Nagar, Chennai 600
017

Respondent(s)

Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the Docket Order dated 24.03.2026 made in M.P.No.4 of 2024 in RLTOP No.727 of 2022 on the file of X Judge, Court of Small Causes, Chennai.

For Petitioner(s): K.Venkateswaran



ORDER

Challenging the Docket order passed by the trial Judge in MP No.4 of 2024, the respondent in RLTOP No.727 of 2022 has filed the above revision.

2. Before the trial Court, the landlord has filed a petition in MP No.4 of 2024 seeking to amend the cause title of the respondent by deleting “M/s Sri Raghavendra Housing Pvt Ltd” and instead, insert “M/s Sri Raghavendra Constructions and Developers” and MP No.4 of 2024 was allowed and the name of the respondent viz., “M/s Sri Raghavendra Housing Pvt Ltd” was deleted and present partnership firm viz., M/s Sri Raghavendra Constructions and Developers” was inserted. Aggrieved over the same, the petitioner/tenant has filed the above revision.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after impleading the present respondent, they were not given any opportunity to file counter by the Rent Controller and the order passed inserting M/s Sri Raghavendra Constructions and Developers as respondent is an erroneous one since the respondent herein filed an application against M/s Sri Raghavendra Housing Pvt Ltd and the trial court, without assigning any valid reason, allowed the application, inserting the new respondent. The trial Judge has observed that the fact was brought to the knowledge of the Court by the additional counter statement filed by the revision petitioner/respondent therein and therefore, the respondent herein filed an application in I.A.No.4 of 2024 and the same was allowed.



4. As on date, the case is posted for arguments, but, the newly impleaded respondent wanted to file objections. But without giving such opportunity, the case was posted for arguments.

5. Though the findings given by the trial Judge requires no interference, the Rent Controller is directed to give one more opportunity to the revision petitioner to file counter on the side of the revision petitioner/newly added respondent and thereafter proceed with the matter. The revision petitioner is directed to file counter within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. With the above direction, the civil revision petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

17.04.2026

sr
Index:yes/no
Website:yes/no
Speaking Order/Non-speaking Order

To

The Judge, X Court of Small Causes, Chennai



WEB COPY



T.V.THAMILSELVAM,

sr

CRP No. 2276 of 2026

17.04.2026