



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.10707 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30.03.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

CrI.O.P.No.10707 of 2023 and
CrI.MP.No.6788 of 2023

1.Mathiazhagan
2.Ramachandran

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.The State rep. By
The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Cuddalore District
crime No.3 of 2023
2.Ambiga

... Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Original petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. praying to call for the records relating to the First Information Report in Crime No.3 of 2023 on the file of the first respondent herein, quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.G.Magesh Kumar

For Respondents
For R1 : Mr.A.Gopinath,
Government Advocate(crl.side)

ORDER

This criminal original petition has been filed praying to quash the proceedings in Crime No.3 of 2023 on the file of the first
Page 1 of 8



Crl.O.P.No.10707 of 2023

respondent.


WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the subject property was sold out by the first accused in favour of the second accused without any title over the property. On complaint, the first respondent has registered FIR in crime No.3 of 2023 for the offences punishable under Sections 465, 467, 468, 471, 420 of IPC.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Without any base, the first respondent police registered a case in crime No.3 of 2023 for the offences punishable under Sections 465, 467, 468, 471, 420 of IPC, as against the petitioners. Hence he prayed to quash the same.

4. The learned Government Advocate(crl.side) appearing for the first respondent police would submit that the investigation is almost completed and the respondent police have only to file final report.

5. It is seen from the First Information Report that there are specific allegations as against the petitioners to attract the offence, which



Crl.O.P.No.10707 of 2023

have to be investigated in depth. Further the FIR is not an encyclopedia and it need not contain all facts and it cannot be quashed in the threshold.

This Court finds that the FIR discloses prima facie commission of cognizable offence and as such this Court cannot interfere with the investigation. The investigating machinery has to step in to investigate, grab and unearth the crime in accordance with the procedures prescribed in the Code.

6. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India passed in the judgment reported in **2019 (14) SCC 350** in the case of ***Sau. Kamal Shivaji Pokarnekhar vs. The State of Maharashtra & ors., (Crl.A.No.255 of 2019*** dated **12.02.2019**) held that the learned Magistrate while taking cognizance and summoning, is required to apply his judicial mind only with the view to taking cognizance of the offence whether a *prima facie* case has been made out for summoning the accused person. The learned Magistrate is not required to evaluate the merits of the materials or evidence in support of the complaint, because the Magistrate must not undertake the exercise to find out whether the materials would lead to conviction or not. Only in a case where the complaint does not disclose any offence or is frivolous, vexatious or oppressive, the complaint/FIR can be taken for consideration for quashment. If the allegations set out in



the complaint do not constitute the offence of which cognizance has been taken by Magistrate, it can be considered for quashment. Therefore, it is not necessary that a meticulous analysis of the case should be done before the trial to find out whether the case would end in conviction or acquittal. If it appears on a reading of the complaint and consideration of the allegations therein, in the light of the statement made on oath that the ingredients of the offence are disclosed, there would be no justification to interfere. At the initial stage of issuance of process, it is no open to the Court to stifle the proceedings by entering into the merits of the contentions made on behalf of the accused. Therefore, the criminal complaint cannot be quashed only on the ground that the allegations made therein appear to be of a civil nature. If the ingredients of the offence alleged against the accused are *prima facie* made out in the complaint, the criminal proceeding shall not be interdicted.

7. Further the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India issued directions in the judgment reported in ***2021 SCC Online SC 315*** in the case of ***M/s.Neeharika Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd., Vs. State of Maharashtra & ors.***, as follows :-

“23.

vi) Criminal proceedings ought not to be scuttled at



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.10707 of 2023

the initial stage;

vii) Quashing of a complaint/FIR should be an exception rather than an ordinary rule;

.....

xii) The first information report is not an encyclopaedia which must disclose all facts and details relating to the offence reported. Therefore, when the investigation by the police is in progress, the court should not go into the merits of the allegations in the FIR. Police must be permitted to complete the investigation. It would be premature to pronounce the conclusion based on hazy facts that the complaint/FIR does not deserve to be investigated or that it amounts to abuse of process of law. After investigation, if the investigating officer finds that there is no substance in the application made by the complainant, the investigating officer may file an appropriate report/summary before the learned Magistrate which may be considered by the learned Magistrate in accordance with the known procedure;

.....

xv) When a prayer for quashing the FIR is made by the alleged accused and the court when it exercises the power under Section 482 Cr.P.C., only has to consider whether the allegations in the FIR disclose commission of a cognizable offence or not. The court is not required to consider on merits whether or not the merits of the allegations make out a cognizable offence and the court has to permit the investigating agency/police to



CrI.O.P.No.10707 of 2023

investigate the allegations in the FIR;”

WEB COPY

8. In view of the above discussions, this Court is not inclined to quash the First Information Report. However, considering the crime is of the year 2023, the first respondent is directed to complete the investigation in Crime No.3 of 2023 and file a final report within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this Order, before the jurisdiction Magistrate, if not already filed.

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

30.03.2026

Index : Yes/No
Neutral citation : Yes/No
Speaking/non-speaking order
lok



Crl.O.P.No.10707 of 2023

To

WEB COPY

The State rep. By
The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Cuddalore District



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.10707 of 2023

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

lok

Crl.O.P.No.10707 of 2023

30.03.2026