



WEB COPY



CRL OP No. 9579 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 12-06-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN**

**CRL OP No. 9579 of 2026**

R.Ramesh  
S/o.Rajamanikam,  
No. 5B, Rava Goundar Street,  
Naduvoor,  
Tirupattur, Jolarper,  
Vellore, Tamil Nadu 635 851.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep by its,  
Sub Inspector of Police,  
Natrampalli, Tirupattur District.  
Crime No. 174 of 2026

..Respondent(s)

**Prayer:** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS to enlarge the Petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in Crime. No. 174 of 2026 pending on the file of the Respondent Police Station

|                    |                                                                        |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner(s): | Mr.D.O.Diviyanathan for<br>M/s Nathan and Associates                   |
| For Respondent(s): | Mr.R.Ganesh Kumar<br>Counsel for Government of Tamilnadu<br>(Crl.Side) |

**ORDER**

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 351(3), 115(2), 118(1), 324(4), 49 of BNS in Crime No.174 of 2026, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, who is a personal Assistant to the former Minister, on 07.04.2026, along with other supporters of the Minister, attacked the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that during the regular course of the duty, the petitioner accompanied the Minister to file his nomination before the Returning Officer at Jolarpet Taluk Officer and after filing the nomination, the petitioner left the premises along with the Minister and had no further connection with any subsequent events. He would further submit the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the petitioner is ready to deposit a sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty thousand only) to the credit of crime No.174 of 2026. Therefore, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) would submit that the injured has been treated as out patient and the vehicle has been damaged and hence, opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking note of the facts and circumstances, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.



WEB COPY


6. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) to the credit of Crime No.174 of 2026, within a period of four weeks from the date on which the order copy made ready, and on such deposit the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Tirupattur District on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) to the credit of Crime No.174 of 2026, before the concerned Magistrate, within a period of four weeks from the date on which the order copy made ready.

[c] the final order in respect of the said deposit shall be passed by the learned trial Judge at conclusion of trial.

[d] the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.



CRL OP No. 9579 of 2026

WEB COPY

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[g] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[h] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

**12-06-2026**

Index: Yes/No  
Speaking/Non-speaking order  
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

ssd

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.III,  
Tirupattur District

2. The State Rep by its, Sub Inspector of Police,  
Natrampalli, Tirupattur District.  
Crime No. 174 of 2026

3. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court, Madras



WEB COPY



CRL OP No. 9579 of 2026

**G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.**

ssd

**CRL OP No. 9579 of 2026**

**12-06-2026**