



Crl.O.P.No.13430 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25.02.2026

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.No.13430 of 2025

1.M.Thalapathi Raja ... 1st Petitioner/Accused-1

2.Keerthivasan ... 2nd petitioner/Accused-2

Vs.

1. The State by
The Inspector of Police,
D-4 ZAM BAZAAR Police Station,
Chennai – 600 005.
Crime No.101 of 2024 ... 1st Respondent/Complainant

2. S.Ganesh ... 2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita to call for the entire records connected with the case in Crime No.101 of 2024 on the file of the first respondent Police and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.J.N.Naresh Kumar

For R1 : Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R2 : No appearance



CrI.O.P.No.13430 of 2025

ORDER

The present Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.101 of 2024, pending against the petitioners, on the file of the first respondent Police, on the basis of the compromise arrived at between the petitioners and the *de facto* complainant/second respondent.

2. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

3. Based on the complaint given by the *de facto* complainant/R2, the aforesaid case in Crime No.101 of 2024 was registered on the file of the first respondent Police against the petitioners, for the offences under Sections 341, 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) IPC.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the *de facto* complainant submitted that on the advice of elders, the parties have now amicably settled the issue among themselves. Hence, they seek to quash the First Information Report as against the petitioners. Affidavits and Joint Memo of Compromise to that effect have also been filed.



5. The petitioners and the *de facto* complainant/R2 appeared before this Court and they were identified by their respective counsel as well as by M.Nagini Devi, WSI, D4-Zam Bazaar Police Station, Chennai.

6. On being enquired by this Court, the *de facto* complainant stated that he has amicably settled the dispute with the petitioners and he is not willing to pursue the criminal proceedings and therefore, seeks to quash the same.

7. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing on behalf of the first respondent submitted that though the parties have entered into a compromise while this case is pending, this Court, taking into account the seriousness of the offences, has to consider the issue as to whether the offences of this nature can be quashed on the ground of compromise between parties.

8. The main issue that requires the consideration of this Court is as to whether this Court can quash the criminal proceedings involving non-compoundable offences pending against the petitioners. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the case of ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujarat***, reported in (2017) 9 SCC 641, has given sufficient guidelines



Cr.L.O.P.No.13430 of 2025

that must be taken into consideration by this Court while exercising its jurisdiction under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. (corresponding to Section 528 BNSS), to quash non-compoundable offences. One very important test that has been laid down is that the Court must necessarily examine if the crime in question is purely individual in nature or a crime against the society with overriding public interest. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that offences against the society with overriding public interest even if they get settled between the parties, cannot be quashed by this Court.

9. In the present case, the offences in question are purely individual/personal in nature. It involves dispute between the petitioners and the second respondent and quashing the proceedings will not affect any overriding public interest in this case and no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the criminal proceedings. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.101 of 2024 pending on the file of the first respondent police, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C./Section 528 BNSS.

10. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of and the First Information Report in Crime No.101 of 2024 pending on the file of the first respondent police is quashed as against the petitioners, on
4/6



CrI.O.P.No.13430 of 2025

condition that the petitioners pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) jointly as costs to the Tamil Nadu State Legal Services Authority (TNSLSA), High Court Campus, Chennai 600 104, within a period of two (2) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

11. The affidavits and the Joint Memo of Compromise filed by the petitioners and the second respondent for compromising the offences shall form part of the records.

25.02.2026

vm

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
D-4 Zam Bazaar Police Station,
Chennai.
2. The Member Secretary,
The Tamil Nadu State Legal Services Authority (TNSLSA),
High Court Campus, Chennai.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.13430 of 2025

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

vm

Crl.O.P.No.13430 of 2025

25.02.2026