



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 9380 of 2026

Ananthi

..Petitioner

Vs

State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
J-1, Saidapet Police Station,
Chennai. Crime No. 471/2025

..Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of apprehending of arrest in the Crime No.471 of 2025 on the file of the Inspector of Police, J-1, Saidapet Police Station, Chennai.

For Petitioner: Mr.P.Muthamizhselvakumar

For Respondent: Mr.P.Dhileepan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 420 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 in Crime No.471 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has received a sum of Rs.13,54,000/- from the de facto complainant for the purchase of a car. Despite



several follow ups, the vehicle was never delivered. The de facto complainant has repaid Rs.5,50,000/- the remaining amount is yet to be refunded. Hence, this complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the occurrence took place on 06.06.2022. He further submitted that the petitioner was innocent and that he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that he is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and he is ready to co-operate for investigation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that except the above allegation, there is no other allegation against the petitioner. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.

6. From the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) it is seen that there is no other allegation raised against the petitioner. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case



and also the totality of the circumstances and upon the factual position, this Court is of the firm view that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required. Hence, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned IX Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Saidapet**, on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)**, with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) **The petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one month and**



thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(e) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

21-04-2026

SHL

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
J-1, Saidapet Police Station,
Chennai.

2. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.

3. The IX Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Saidapet.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 9380 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

SHL

CRL OP No. 9380 of 2026

21-04-2026