WEB COPY

Order QR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S. M. SUBRAMANIAM

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.RAJESH VIVEKANANTHAN

WA No. 1898 of 2024
CNR:{HCMA010846282024}

Case QR

Raman
S/o. Pazhani, Sathanur Village, Purasakuruchi
Post, Kallakurichi Taluk, Villupuram District

..Appellant

Vs

1. Tjhe District Revenue Officer
   Villupuram, Villupuram District.

2. Revenue Divisional Officer
   Kallakurichi, Villupuram District

3. The Tahsildhar
   Kallakurichi, Villupuram District

4. Annamalai
   S/o. Periyasamy Pandaram Sathanur Village,
   Sogaiyur post, Kallakurichi Taluk, Villupuram
   District

..Respondents

Prayer : Writ Appeal under Clause XV of the Letters Patent to set aside the order dated 12.03.2024 in WP No.28867 of 2019 and allow this Writ Appeal.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

For Appellant : Mr.V. Manohar
for Mr.T.Balachandran

For Respondent(s): K.Surender
Special Government Pleader- For R1 to R3
No appearance – for R4
Mr.D.R.Sivakumar, Advocate Commissioner

Judgment

(Judgment of the Court was delivered by S.M.Subramaniam J.)

The writ petitioner is the appellant before this Court. The writ order dated 12.03.2024 passed in W.P.No.28867 of 2019 is under challenge in this intra court appeal.

2. The order passed by the District Revenue Officer (DRO), Villupuram, vide proceedings dated 30.08.2019 is under challenge in the writ proceedings. A close reading of the said order would show that the DRO recorded the facts, but finally directed the Revenue Tahsildar and Sub-Collector to conduct an enquiry by affording opportunity to the parties and take a decision. Therefore, it is unnecessary for this Court to adjudicate the issues on merits. The parties are also relegated to participate in the enquiry to be conducted by the Revenue Tahsildar and sub-collector in pursuance to the order impugned in the writ petition dated 30.08.2019. That being so, this Court is not inclined to

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

interfere with the decision taken by the Writ Court. However, it is made clear that the observations made by the DRO, Villupuram in his order is to be confined only to refer the facts of the case and in respect of the grounds raised between the parties, which is to be adjudicated on merits and in accordance with law and by affording opportunity to all the parties. Therefore, the Revenue Tahsildar and Sub-Collector, Kallakurichi are directed to conduct an enquiry as directed by the DRO, Villupuram by affording opportunity to the parties and pass appropriate orders.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant would submit that the expenditure met out by the Advocate Commissioner to the tune of Rs.20,000/- (Twenty Thousand only) will be reimbursed. The said submission is recorded.

4. With the above observations, the writ appeal stands disposed of. No costs.

(S.M.S.,J.) (R.R.V.,J.)
10-11-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

KST

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1. Tjhe District Revenue Officer
   Villupuram, Villupuram District

2. Revenue Divisional Officer
   Kallakurichi, Villupuram District

3. The Tahsildhar
   Kallakurichi, Villupuram District

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Order QR

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM J.
AND
R.RAJESH VIVEKANANTHAN J.

KST

WA No. 1898 of 2024

10-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis