WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10.11.2026

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

S.A. Nos.105 and 106 of 2016
and
C.M.P. No.2494 of 2016

S.A. No.105 of 2016

Sellammal … Appellant

Vs.

1. Venkatesan @ Kumaresan
2. Jayalakshmi Ammal
3. Periyammal
4. Rajathi
5. Chandra
6. C. Subramani
7. Duraisamy
8. C. Senthilkumar ... Respondents

Prayer: Second Appeal filed Under Section 100 of Civil Procedure Code against the Judgment and Decree dated 10.04.2015 passed in A.S.No.7 of 2010 on the file of the learned Principal Subordinate Judge, Salem, modifying the Judgment and Decree passed in O.S.No.930 of 2004 dated 30.09.2009 on the file of the learned I Additional District Munsif, Salem.

For Appellant	:	No representation
For RR 1 to 3	:	No appearance
For RR 4, 6 to 8	:	Exparte. NDW (vide Memo of Grounds)
For R5	:	Dismissed (vide Order dated 21.12.2022)

S.A. No.106 of 2016

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Sellammal … Appellant

Vs.

1. Periyammal
2. Rajathi
3. Chandra
4. C. Subramani
5. Duraisamy
6. C. Senthilkumar
7. Venkatesan @ Kumaresan
8. Jayalakshmi Ammal ... Respondents

Prayer: Second Appeal filed Under Section 100 of Civil Procedure Code against the Judgment and Decree dated 10.04.2015 passed in A.S.No.13 of 2010 on the file of the learned Principal Subordinate Judge, Salem, modifying the Judgment and Decree passed in O.S.No.930 of 2004 dated 30.09.2009 on the file of the learned I Additional District Munsif, Salem.

For Appellant	:	No representation
For RR 1, 7 and 8	:	No appearance
For RR 2, 4 to 6	:	Exparte. NDW (vide Memo of Grounds)
For R3	:	Dismissed (vide Order dated 21.12.2022)

*****

COMMON JUDGMENT

This Court, earlier on 04.11.2026, recorded the proceedings as follows:

" When the matter was taken up for hearing today, learned counsel for the appellant submitted that he has no instruction in this matter.

2. The learned counsel for the appellant filed a memo today, indicating that in spite of taken best efforts, he was unable to get the instructions from his client and hence, vakalat filed on behalf of the appellant may be revoked.

3. The said memo is recorded.

4. The Registry is directed to list the matter on 05.11.2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

(tomorrow) by printing the name of the parties in the cause list and remove the name of the learned counsel."

2. Accordingly the matter was listed on 05.11.2026 and subsequently, the matter was adjourned today. Even today, there is no representation for the appellant in both appeals. This shows that the appellant is not interested to proceed with these appeals, hence this Court is inclined to dismiss these second appeals for non-prosecution.

3. Accordingly, these Second Appeals are dismissed for non-prosecution. Consequently, connected civil miscellaneous petition stands closed. No costs.

10.11.2026

stn
Index:Yes/No
Speaking Order : Yes/No
Neutral Citation Case : Yes/No

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

stn

To:

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

1. The Principal Subordinate Judge, Salem.

2. The I Additional District Munsif, Salem.

3. The Section Officer,
   VR Section, Madras High Court,
   Chennai.

S.A. Nos.105 and 106 of 2016
and C.M.P. No.2494 of 2016

10.11.2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis