



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 9131 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10.04.2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 9131 of 2026

- 1.Krusaanth, VP S/o.Ponnusamy
2. Ponnusamy Vangili, S/o.Vangili
3. Nishanth Vangili Ponnusamy, S/o.Ponnusamy

..Petitioners

Vs

State rep. By Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Namakkal District.
Crime No. 8 of 2026

..Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023 to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of the arrest in connection with the crime No.08 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.R.Nalliyappan
For Respondent(s):		Mr.P.Dhileepan, Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest for the alleged offence **under Sections 75(1), 85 and 123 of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.8 of 2026**, on the file of the respondent police seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners allegedly demanded dowry and consequently, thrown out the de-facto complainant from the



matrimonial house for not satisfying the demand made by the petitioners.

Hence, the case.


WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioner were innocent and that they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that they are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and they are ready to co-operate for investigation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and strongly opposed the anticipatory bail application on the ground that the petitioner has demanded dowry and has also treated the de-facto complainant with cruelty. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the totality circumstances of the case and on the further fact it is a matrimonial dispute between the petitioners and the de-facto complainant, this Court is of the view that the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required in these type of allegations. Hence, this Court is



inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **Learned Judicial Magistrate, Rasipuram**, on condition that the **petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioners fail to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(d) **The petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of two weeks;**



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 9131 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

mp

(e) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down

by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(f) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

10.04.2026

mp

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Rasipuram
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.
3. The Inspector of Police, All Women Police Station, Namakkal District.

CRL OP No. 9131 of 2026