



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.10095 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30.04.2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

Crl.O.P.No.10095 of 2026

Amutha

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch -II,
Anti-Land Grabbing Special Cell,
Perambalur District – 621 212.
(Crime No.25 of 2025).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail, in connection with Crime No.25 of 2025, pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Veeramani

For Respondent : Mr.P.Dhilpeean
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)



Crl.O.P.No.10095 of 2026

ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 417 & 420 of IPC in Crime No.25 of 2025, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are acquainted with each other. The defacto complainant approached the petitioner to obtain a patta; the defacto complainant handed over a copy of the sale agreement to the petitioner, who used it to obtain the patta in the defacto complainant's name. Subsequently, the petitioner, forging the defacto complainant's signature, mortgaged the property in favour of Canara Bank and obtained a loan of Rs.10 lakhs, thereby cheated the defacto complainant. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and she has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submit that the petitioner is ready to abide to any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



Crl.O.P.No.10095 of 2026

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that this is the second anticipatory bail petition and there is no change in circumstances. Hence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the fact that this is the second anticipatory bail and there is no change in circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

30.04.2026

Sma

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch -II,
Anti-Land Grabbing Special Cell,
Perambalur District – 621 212.
2. . The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.10095 of 2026

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN.,J.

Sma

Crl.O.P.No.10095 of 2026

30.04.2026