



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 9518 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 16-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 9518 of 2026

K. Anbu

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Superintendent of Police,
Thiruvannamalai,
Thiruvannamalai District.
2. The State Rep.by its, The Inspector of Police,
Thiruvannamalai West Police Station,
Thiruvannamalai.
3. K.Babypriya
D/o. Kumaran,
Ambedkar Street,
kanathampoondi Village,
Thiruvannamalai District.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, to enlarge the Petitioner on bail in the event of petitioners arrest in Crime No.47 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent police.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. P Jayachandran

For Respondent(s): MR.P.DHILEEPAN,
GOVT.ADVOCATE (CRL SIDE) for R1,R2

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 296(b), 329, 324(4), 324(5), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3), 303(2) of BNS r/w 4 of



TNPHWA Act, r/w 3(1)(s), 3(2)(va), 3(1)(r) of SC/ST Act in Crime No.47 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 14.02.2026 at about 4.30 p.m, the petitioner trespassed into the defacto complainant's land and removed soil. When the same was questioned, a quarrel ensued, during which both sides attacked each other, causing injuries and damage to property. It is further alleged that the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in caste-based terms. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that no specific averments are made to attract the provisions of the SC/ST Act. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution's case and, on instructions, submitted that there is a specific reference to the community of the defacto complainant in the FIR, thereby attracting the provisions of the SC/ST Act.

5. In view of the prima facie materials available on record attracting the



provisions of the SC/ST Act, this Court is of the firm view, in light of the bar under Section 18 of the said Act, the present anticipatory bail petition is not maintainable.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

16-04-2026

DRL

To

1.The District Superintendent of Police,
Thiruvannamalai,
Thiruvannamalai District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Thiruvannamalai West Police Station,
Thiruvannamalai.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 9518 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

DRL

CRL OP No. 9518 of 2026

16-04-2026