



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 9229 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 15-04-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M. NIRMAL KUMAR**

**CRL OP No. 9229 of 2026**

S.Uma Maheswaran

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Assistant Commissioner of Police,  
Flower Bazaar Police Range,  
Flower Bazaar Police Station,  
Chennai - 600 001.
2. The Inspector of Police,  
C2, Elephant Gate Police Station,  
Chennai - 600 001.

..Respondent(s)

To issue a Direction the respondents not to harass the petitioner by considering the representation dated 28.03.2026 made by the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law within a reasonable time as may be fixed by the Honble Court.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.P.Pugalenth

For Respondent(s): Mr.Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam,  
Additional Public Prosecutor

defacto Complainant Mr.Gopinath

**ORDER**

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking a direction to the respondent Police not to harass the petitioner by considering his representation dated 28.03.2026.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the respondent Police, under the guise of enquiry, have been calling the petitioner at odd hours and subjecting her to harassment.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that a complaint was lodged by one Gopalakrishnan of AGM Finance against the petitioner, stating that the petitioner received a sum of Rs.7,00,000/- on the promise of redeeming and re-pledging jewels in the Tamil Nadu Mercantile Bank. However, after redemption and re-pledge, the amount of Rs.7,00,000/- was not returned to the defacto complainant.

4. Though the petitioner claims that a sum of Rs.2,40,000/- has been repaid, the learned counsel for the defacto complainant strongly opposed the same, stating that no amount has been paid by the petitioner.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner had appeared before the respondent and stated that she would hold talks with



the complainant, namely Gopalakrishnan, and settle the issue, however, she filed the present petition.

WEB COPY

6. Considering the facts and submissions made by the learned counsels on either side, this Court finds no material to substantiate the allegation of harassment. The petitioner is directed to cooperate with the enquiry. If the respondent Police, upon enquiry, finds any cognizable offence against the petitioner, they are at liberty to proceed in accordance with law.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

**15-04-2026**

Index: Yes/No  
Speaking/Non-speaking order  
Neutral Citation: Yes/No  
PVS



To

1. The Assistant Commissioner of Police,  
Flower Bazaar Police Range,  
Flower Bazaar Police Station,  
Chennai - 600 001.
2. The Inspector of Police,  
C2, Elephant Gate Police Station,  
Chennai - 600 001.
3. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 9229 of 2



**M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.**

**PVS**

**CRL OP No. 9229 of 2026**

**15-04-2026**