



W.P.No.14130 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 20.04.2026

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

W.P.No.14130 of 2026
and
W.M.P.No.15370 of 2026

Kalaiarasi

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Inspector General of Registration,
100, Santhome High Road,
Chennai – 600 028.

2.The Sub Registrar Joint-2,
Office of Sub Registrar,
Kanchipuram.

... Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records pertaining to the impugned refusal check slip vide RFL/Joint Sub Registrar-2 Kanchipuram/13/2025 dated 30.06.2025 issued by the 2nd respondent and quash the same as illegal, arbitrary and *non-est* in law, consequently direct the 2nd respondent to register the settlement deed dated 30.06.2025 presented by the petitioner and release the same within the time stipulated by this Court.



W.P.No.14130 of 2026

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Vijay
For Respondents : Mr.P.Harish
Government Advocate

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed challenging the impugned refusal check slip, dated 30.06.2025 issued by the 2nd respondent, refusing to register the settlement deed, dated 30.06.2025 presented by the petitioner for registration on the following grounds :-

- (a) the boundaries of the property have not been given by the petitioner;
- (b) the original parent document has not been produced by the petitioner.

2. Mr.P.Harish, learned Government Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

3. The petitioner categorically contends before this Court that the executant of the settlement deed is having the legal right to present the settlement deed for registration. She has also traced the title of the property as seen from the avernments contained in the affidavit filed in



W.P.No.14130 of 2026

WEB COPY

support of this writ petition. She has also produced documents along with this writ petition in support of her case that there is no legal impediment for the 2nd respondent to register the settlement deed presented by the petitioner for registration. The petitioner has also challenged the impugned refusal check slip on the ground of violation of the principles of natural justice as no opportunity of hearing was granted to the petitioner by the 2nd respondent before refusing to register the settlement deed presented by the petitioner for registration.

4. This Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the respective contentions. However, as seen from the impugned refusal check slip, it is a non-speaking order with regard to the contentions of the petitioner as raised in this writ petition. The impugned order is also an order passed in violation of the principles of natural justice as no opportunity of hearing was granted to the petitioner by the 2nd respondent before issuing the impugned refusal check slip, dated 30.06.2025.

5. Being a non-speaking order with regard to the petitioner's contentions and the supporting documents produced by her and being an



W.P.No.14130 of 2026

WEB COPY

order passed in violation of the principles of natural justice, this Court is of the considered view that the impugned refusal check slip, dated 30.06.2025 issued by the 2nd respondent has to be quashed by this Court and the matter has to be remanded back to the 2nd respondent for fresh consideration on merits and in accordance with law.

6. Accordingly, the impugned refusal check slip, dated 30.06.2025 issued by the 2nd respondent is hereby quashed by this Court and the matter is remanded back to the 2nd respondent for fresh consideration on merits and in accordance with law. The petitioner shall submit a written explanation to the 2nd respondent, within a period of one (1) week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, as to why there is no legal impediment for the 2nd respondent to register the settlement deed presented by the petitioner for registration, along with supporting documents. On receipt of the said written explanation within the stipulated time from the petitioner, the 2nd respondent shall take a final decision as to whether the settlement deed, dated 30.06.2025 presented by the petitioner can be registered or not, within a period of three (3) weeks thereafter. In case, the 2nd respondent decides to refuse registration of the



W.P.No.14130 of 2026

WEB COPY

settlement deed presented by the petitioner, the 2nd respondent shall pass a speaking order with regard to the written explanation submitted by the petitioner as well as the supporting documents produced by her.

7. In the above terms, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

20.04.2026

(2/3)

Index : Yes / No
Speaking order / Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation Case : Yes/No
sp

To

1.The Inspector General of Registration,
100, Santhome High Road,
Chennai – 600 028.

2.The Sub Registrar Joint-2,
Office of Sub Registrar,
Kanchipuram.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.14130 of 2026

ABDUL QUDDHOSE, J.

sp

W.P.No.14130 of 2026

20.04.2026
(2/3)