



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 9729 of 2026

K.Mohankumar

..Petitioner

Vs

The State rep.by its,
The Inspector of Police,
CCB Tambaram City Police station,
Chennai-600119.
Cr.No.01/2026.

..Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023, to grant an anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the event of his arrest or on his appearance before any court in connection with the case in Cr.No.01 of 2026 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner:

M/S. M.Sathishkumar

For Respondent:

Mr.P.Dhileepan
Govt.Advocate (Crl Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, correspondents to Sections 318(4), 336(2), 338, 336(3), 340(2) and 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 in Crime No.01 of 2026 on the file of the



respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other persons had created forged legal heir certificate and other Government documents. The A1 had lodged a complaint stating that he had lost the original sale deed and the complaint was registered. Based on the said complaint, the Deputy Inspector of Nemili Police Station issued a “Not Traceable Certificate” on 31.08.2023. Using the said certificate, A1 had fraudulently prepared and registered the settlement deed in favour of A2, his son. Hence, this complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that A1 has already been enlarged on bail. He further submitted that the petitioner was innocent and that he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that he is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and he is ready to co-operate for investigation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Govt Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that the earlier anticipatory bail was dismissed on 13.03.2026 and there is no change in circumstances. He further submitted that unless the petitioner is taken on custody, the question of recovery of money and the nature of fraud committed by the petitioner could not be identified. Hence, he opposed to grant



anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the taking into consideration of the totality of the circumstances, the above submission of the learned Govt Advocate (Crl.Side) cannot be found faulted. Hence, taking into consideration of the severity of the allegation, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

20-04-2026

SHL

To:

1. The Judicial Magistrate Court No.1
Kancheepuram

2. The Inspector of Police,
CCB Tambaram City Police station,
Chennai-600119.

3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 9729 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN J.

SHL

CRL OP No. 9729 of 2026

20-04-2026