



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 04-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

WP CrI. No. 787 of 2026
and WPMP CrI.No.257 of 2026

Gokul Sankar Gunasekaran
S/o Gunasekaran,
No.5/122, Vennankuzhi Village,
Melanikuli Post,
Udayarpalayam Taluk,
Ariyalur.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Regional Passport Officer
Regional Passport Office,
Trichy.
2. The Superintendent of Police
Ariyalur District.
3. The Inspector Of Police
Meensurutty Police Station,
Ariyalur.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating adverse police verification report dated 05.01.2026 submitted by the 3rd respondent in connection with the petitioner's passport application file No.TR1076074547125 dated 12.12.2025, quash the same and consequently direct the 1st respondent to process and issue passport to the petitioner.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.K.Kanagendran



WEB COPY



For Respondent(s):

Mr.A.Kumaraguru
Senior Panel Counsel – R1

Mr.R.Ganesh Kumar
Government Advocate (CrI. Side) – R2 & R3

ORDER

The Writ Petition has been filed challenging the letter issued by the 1st respondent dated 05.01.2026.

2.The fact remains that the petitioner applied for passport. On receipt of the said application, the 1st respondent called for police verification report from the 3rd respondent. The 3rd respondent verified the same and had sent a report intimating the 1st respondent that the petitioner has involved in a criminal case registered in Crime No.23 of 2022, for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 269, 270, 294(b), 323, 353 and 506(I) I.P.C, under Section 3 of the Epidemic Diseases Act, 1897, and Section 51(a) and (b) of the Disaster Management Act, 2005.

3.On receipt of the said verification report, the 1st respondent issued a letter dated 05.01.2026, which is impugned in this Writ Petition, seeking clarification about the pendency of the criminal case. On receipt of the same, the petitioner did not even respond to the same and rushed to challenged it before this Court. Therefore, it is only a letter issued by the 1st respondent



seeking clarification with regard to the pendency of the criminal case. In fact, the 1st respondent once again has sent a letter dated 28.04.2026 seeking clarification whether the criminal case is still pending or closed.

4. In view of the above, this Court finds no infirmity or illegality in the letter dated 05.01.2026, issued by the 1st respondent, which is merely seeking clarification from the petitioner. Therefore, the Writ Petition itself is devoid of merits accordingly stands dismissed. However, the petitioner is granted liberty to respond to the clarification letter sent by the 1st respondent, within a period of two (2) weeks, from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The 1st respondent, on receipt of the said clarification, can consider and dispose of the application submitted by the petitioner for issuance of passport within a period of four (4) weeks thereafter. No costs. Consequently, the connected WPMP.CrI.No.257 of 2026 is closed.

04-06-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

kas



WEB COPY

To

1. The Regional Passport Officer
Regional Passport Office,
Trichy.
2. The Superintendent of Police
Ariyalur District.
3. The Inspector Of Police
Meensurutty Police Station,
Ariyalur.

WP CrI. No. 787 of 2



G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

kas

WP CrI. No. 787 of 2026

04-06-2026