



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 8556 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 8556 of 2026

Raja @ Muniyaraja

..Petitioner

Vs

The State Rep By,
The Inspector of Police
C 2 Race Course Police Station,
Coimbatore
(Crime No. 80 / 2026)

..Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on Bail on event of their arrest in connection with Crime Number 80 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.

For Petitioner:

Mr.Periaswamy I

For Respondent:

Mr.P.Dhileepan
Govt.Advocate (Crl Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 316(2), 316(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 in Crime No.80 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner was working as fund collector under Sri Lakshmi Ammal Trust, run by the de facto complainant. The petitioner allegedly misappropriated the installment amounts collected from the members. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was innocent and that he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that he is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and he is ready to co-operate for investigation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Govt Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that the petitioner is working as a collection agent in the Sri Lakshmi Ammal Trust. Many of the self help group has invested amount in the Trust whereas the petitioner by creating fabricated receipt has swindled Rs.30 lakhs. He further submitted that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required to identity the layering of the money. Therefore, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking



into consideration of the totality of the circumstances, the nature of allegation against the petitioner and to find out the layering of money, the submission of the learned Govt Advocate (Crl.Side) that the essentiality of the custodial interrogation of the petitioner cannot be found faulted. This Court absolutely does not find any merit in the application.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

09-04-2026

SHL

To:

1. The Inspector of Police
C 2 Race Course Police Station,
Coimbatore

2. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 8556 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN J.

SHL

CRL OP No. 8556 of 2026

09-04-2026