



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 8517 of 2026

and

CRL MP No. 7376 of 2026

Binu Christina

..Petitioner

Vs

State Rep.by,
The Inspector of Police,
J-8 Neelankarai Police Station,
Neelankarai,
Chengalpet District.
(Crime No.98 of 2026)

..Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in crime No. 98 of 2026 on the file of the Inspector of police, Neelankarai Police Station, Neelankarai, Chennai District.

For Petitioner:

Mr. S.Kishore

For Respondent:

Mr.P.Dhileepan
Govt.Advocate (Crl Side)

For Intervenor:

Mr.Gouthaman

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 406 and 420 of IPC in Crime No.98 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police



seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner induced the de facto complainant to invest money and cheated to the tune of Rs.45,60,400/- with a promise of high return. Hence, this complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was innocent and that he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that he is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and he is ready to co-operate for investigation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner has two previous cases pending against him which are similar in nature in the year 2023 and he has cheated the de facto complainant to the tune of Rs.50 lakhs. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.



6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking into consideration of the totality of the circumstances, and upon the submission of the learned Govt Advocate (Crl.Side), it explicitly exposes the criminal antecedents and character of the petitioner. Hence, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

21-04-2026

SHL

To:

1. The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court,
Shollinganallur

2. The Inspector of Police,
J-8 Neelankarai Police Station,
Neelangarai,
Chengalpet District.

3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 8517 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN J.

SHL

CRL OP No. 8517 of 2026
and
CRL MP No. 7376 of 2026

21-04-2026