



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 9156 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 9156 of 2026

1. Senthilkumar
S/o.Muthukrishnan,
Residing at
No.22, Chettipattarai Colony,
Thiruvattigai,
Panruti,
Cuddalore District.
2. Sathankumar
S/o.Senthilkumar,
Both are residing at
No.22, Chettipattarai Colony,
Thiruvattigai,
Panruti,
Cuddalore District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Rep. by, The Station House Office,
Panruti Police Station,
Cuddalore District.
In Cr.No.60 of 2026

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, to grant Anticipatory bail to the Petitioners, in the event of their arrest, concerned in Crime No. 60 of 2026, on the file of the Respondent Police on such terms and conditions and as this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.R.Parthiban

For Respondent(s):

MR.P.DHILEEPAN,
GOVT.ADVOCATE (CRL SIDE)



ORDER


WEB COPY

The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 351(2) of BNS Act in Crime No.60 of 2026, on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, the petitioners waylaid the defacto complainant, abused him in filthy language, forcibly took his two wheeler, and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that this is the second anticipatory bail petition. He further submitted that he is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that this is the second anticipatory bail application. He further submitted that the earlier anticipatory bail application was dismissed and that there is no change in circumstances in the present application.



5. This Court in para-7 of the order in Crl.OP.No.5844 of 2026 dated 18.03.2026, has stated as follows:

WEB COPY

“Considering the above, this Court is of the firm view that the petitioner have misused the liberty granted by this Court and have subverted the course of justice by committing a similar offence on 18.02.2026. Therefore in view of the above factual position, the petitioners do not deserve anticipatory bail. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.”

6. Considering the gravity of the offences and upon the ground that there is no change in circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

10-04-2026

DRL

To

1.The Station House Office,
Panruti Police Station,
Cuddalore District.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 9156 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

DRL

CRL OP No. 9156 of 2026

10-04-2026