



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 8239 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 8239 of 2026

1. M Androse
S/o Murugan,
No. 2-93 A, Mariyamman Kovil Street,
Vikkaravandi Taluka,
Kanjarru,
Villupuram District.
2. N.Murugan
S/o Narayanan,
No. 2-93 A, Mariyamman Kovil Street,
Vikkaravandi Taluka,
Kanjarru,
Villupuram District.
3. M.Amala
W /o Murugan,
No. 2-93 A, Mariyamman Kovil Street,
Vikkaravandi Taluka,
Kanjarru,
Villupuram District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep By, The Inspector of Police
AWPS-Gingee Police Station,
Villupuram District.
(cr. No. 0008 of 2026)

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest concern in Crime No. 0008 of 2026 on the file of the inspector of police AWPS-Gingee Police station, Villupuram District. On such terms and conditions as this Honble court may deem fit and proper and thus render justice.



WEB COPY

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.Rajadurai

For Respondent(s):

Mr.P.Dhileepan

Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 332(b), 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) of BNS and under Section 7, 8, 9(k), 10 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 in Crime No.0008 of 2026, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the first petitioner allegedly trespassed into the house where the victim girl was staying and sexually harassed her in the absence of the defacto complainant and when the same was questioned, a quarrel arose involving the other petitioners. Hence, the complaint was lodged and the case was registered.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the issue arose out of a love affair between the first petitioner and the victim girl, which was not approved by the defacto complainant. Hence he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent police



while opposing the anticipatory bail to the petitioners reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that due to love affair between the first petitioner and the victim, on the date of occurrence, the first petitioner sexually harassed the victim girl.

5. From the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) it is a case of sexual assault meted out by the victim. Since custodial interrogation is required in this case, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original petition stands dismissed.

02-04-2026

DRL

To

1.The Inspector of Police
AWPS-Gingee Police Station,
Villupuram District.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 8239 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

DRL

CRL OP No. 8239 of 2026

02-04-2026