



Cont.P.No.1390 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 13.03.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.VELMURUGAN

AND

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE K.GOVINDARAJAN  
THILAKAVADI

Cont.P.No.1390 of 2025

and

Sub Application No.580 of 2025

P. Rajendran

... Petitioner

Versus

1. Thiru. K.Tharpagaraj, I.A.S.,  
The District Collector  
The District Collector Office  
Tiruvannamalai District – 606 604
2. Thiru. Ramakrishnan  
The Revenue Divisional Officer  
Arani Town  
Tiruvannamalai District – 632 301
3. Tmt. Rajarajeswari  
The Tahsildar  
Kalasapakkam Taluk  
Tiruvannamalai District – 606 751



Cont.P.No.1390 of 2025

4. R.Balamurugan  
The Block Development Officer  
BDO Office, Kalasapakkam  
Tiruvannamalai District – 606 751

... Respondents

Prayer: Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, to punish the respondents herein for the willful disobedience and non-compliance of the orders of this Court made in W.P.No.9155 of 2025 dated 17.03.2025 under the provisions of Contempt of Courts Act.

For Petitioner : Mrs.Vijayakumari Natarajan  
For Respondents : Mr.Abishek Murthy  
Government Advocate

### **ORDER**

**(Order of the Court was made by P.VELMURUGAN, J.)**

This Contempt Petition has been filed to punish the respondents herein for the willful disobedience and non-compliance of the orders of this Court made in W.P.No.9155 of 2025 dated 17.03.2025 under the provisions of Contempt of Courts Act.



Cont.P.No.1390 of 2025

2. This Court while disposing the Writ Petition in W.P.No.9155 of 2025 on 17.03.2025, had recalled the notice issued under Section 7 of the Tamil Nadu Land Encroachment Act, 1905 (Tamil Nadu Act III of 1905) (hereinafter 'said 1905 Act') and the order for eviction under Section 6 of the said Act and also given a direction to issue fresh notice through competent authority and to consider the representation of the petitioner if any in accordance with law before passing a final orders.

3. Mr.Abishek Murthy, learned Government Advocate who takes notice for the respondents submitted that as per the directions of this Court dated 17.03.2025, the notice under Sections 7 and 6 of the said 1905 Act were served on the encroachers on 26.03.2025 and 16.04.2025 and after obtaining report from the Tahsildhar, the final order was also passed on 26.05.2025. Therefore, this Court finds that the order of this Court has been duly complied with. Hence, this Court is inclined to close this Contempt Petition.

4. Accordingly, this Contempt Petition is closed. Consequently, the connected application is closed.



Cont.P.No.1390 of 2025

WEB COPY

5. However, if the petitioner is so aggrieved with the order of the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, he is at liberty to work out his remedy in the manner known to law.

[P.V.,J.] [K.G.T.,J.]  
13.03.2026

ksa-2



WEB COPY



Cont.P.No.1390 of 2025

P.VELMURUGAN, J.,  
AND

K.GOVINDARAJAN THILAKAVADI.J.,

ksa-2

Cont.P.No.1390 of 2025

13.03.2026