



WEB COPY

CRL OP No.9806 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 9806 of 2026

Arunkumar
S/o.Gajendran,
No.133 D Block SVM Nagar,
Perambur Barracks,
Chennai - 600012.

..Petitioner/Accused

Vs

The State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Ambattur Estate Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.
(Crime No.80 of 2026)

...Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police concerned in (Crime No.80 of 2026 on the file of Inspector of Police, Amabttur Estate Police Station, Tiruvallur District).

For Petitioner: Mr.Kalaikumar S

For Respondent: Mr.P.Dhileepan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS in Crime No.80 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, the petitioner, along with other accused, abused the *de-facto* complainant in filthy language and assaulted the *de-facto* complainant. Due to this, the *de-facto* complainant sustained injuries and was admitted to the hospital. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution, and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and the petitioner is ready to cooperate with the investigation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the previous application was dismissed on 12.03.2026; that there is no change in circumstances; and that the *de-facto* complainant was in the hospital for more than one month. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY



5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent.

6. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that the previous application was dismissed on 12.03.2026 and there is no change in circumstances, and apart from that, he would submit that the *de-facto* complainant was in the hospital for more than one month. Hence, considering the seriousness of the injury and severity of the allegation, this Court has dismissed the application on 12.03.2026. Unless there is a substantial change in ground, the question of entertaining the subsequent application does not arise. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

dk

20-04-2026



To

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Ambattur.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Ambattur Estate Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.

3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.

WEB COPY



WEB COPY

CRL OP No.9806 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

dk

CRL OP No. 9806 of 2026

20-04-2026