



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 7720 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 26-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 7720 of 2026

Dhanush

..Petitioner

Vs

State Rep. by
Inspector of Police,
Peralam Police Station,
Tiruvarur District.
Crime No.62 of 2026

..Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under section 482 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No. 62 of 2026 on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioner:

Mr.K.S. Muvendan

For Respondent:

Mr.P.Dhileepan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 296(b), 126, 74, 75 and 76 of BNS and Section 4 of TNPWH Act the corresponding provisions in IPC are 294, 341, 354, 354A and 354B in Crime No. 62 of 2026, seeks anticipatory



bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused abused the de facto complainant in filthy language and assaulted her by tearing her clothes. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that they have falsely implicated the case and the occurrence took place on 17.02.2026 and there is no injury caused to anyone. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and produced the statement of the de facto complainant recorded under section 183(5) of BNSS against the petitioner. He further submitted that the investigation is also in preliminary stage and this petitioner in this petition is a history sheeter. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and considering the statement recorded under 183(5) of BNSS, it is evident that there is serious assault upon the modesty of the de facto complainant by the petitioner and this



Court could find the seriousness of the allegation. The occurrence took place on 18.03.2026 and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that the investigation is also in preliminary stage and that the petitioner is a history sheeter. Taking into consideration of the totality of the circumstances, and the statement made by the de facto complainant before the learned Judicial Magistrate and upon the fact that investigation is nascent stage, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Hence, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

26-03-2026

SHL

To:

1. The Inspector of Police,
Peralam Police Station,
Tiruvarur District.

2. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 7720 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN J.

SHL

CRL OP No. 7720 of 2026

26-03-2026