



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 7629 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 26-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 7629 of 2026

M.Thangadurai

..Petitioner

Vs

The State rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Eriyur Police Station,
Dharmapuri District,
Tamil Nadu - 636810.

..Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under section 482 of BNSS to enlarge the Petitioner on anticipatory Bail in the event of the petitioner in Crime No. 31 of 2026 pending on the file of the Respondent Police Station.

For Petitioner:

M/s.Bharathi G

For Respondent:

Mr.P.Dhileepan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 303(2) of the BNS and Sections 21(1) and 21(4) of the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act in Crime No.31 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner was involved in illegal transporting block stones without any valid permit or licence and that the petitioner was caught red handed by the respondent police. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was innocent and that he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that he is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and he is ready to co-operate for investigation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that no previous cases have been registered against the petitioner. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. From the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) it is seen that the petitioner had no bad antecedents. Though, this Court views the offence of theft of natural resources and its exploitation as



serious offence, taking into consideration of the fact that petitioner had no previous cases, and upon the fond hope that he would mend himself in future and would not come under the adverse notice of the respondent, this Court is inclined to enlarge him on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Pennagaram, Dharmapuri District**, on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)**, with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) **The petitioner is directed to make a non-refundable deposit of Rs.30,000/- [Rupees Thirty Thousand Only] directly to the credit of “Tamil Nadu State Legal Services Authority, High Court Campus, Chennai”, without**



prejudice to the right of the defence before the Trial Court and the receipt shall be produced at the time of executing the bond;

(d) The petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am and 5.30 pm for a period of two months; thereafter as and when required;

(e) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(f) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

26-03-2026

SHL

To:

1. The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court,
Pennagaram, Dharmapuri District

2. The Inspector of Police,
Eriyur Police Station,
Dharmapuri District,
Tamil Nadu – 636810.

3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 7629 of 2



.KUMARAPPAN J.

SHL

CRL OP No. 7629 of 2026

26-03-2026