



Crl.O.P.No.7817 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 27.03.2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

Crl.O.P.No.7817 of 2026

Manivasu

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Kancheepuram.
Crime No.7 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail
in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No.7 of 2025 on the file of
the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.N.Subramani

For Respondent : Mr.P.Dhileepan,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent
police for the offences punishable under Sections 465, 468, 471, 420 and 34



Crl.O.P.No.7817 of 2026

of IPC r/w Sections 336(2), 336(3), 340(2), 318(4) and 3(5) of BNS, in Crime

No.7 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, in collusion with the other accused, dishonestly induced the defacto complainant, Karunakaran, to execute a power of attorney in favour of one Vinoth on the false promise of securing a higher sale price for his property, and thereafter, without the knowledge or consent of the complainant, caused the property to be sold to a third party for a substantial consideration, which was not paid to the complainant, thereby committing offences of cheating, forgery, and criminal conspiracy. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and sought anticipatory bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the entire prosecution case rests upon



Crl.O.P.No.7817 of 2026

the allegation that the petitioner and the other accused obtained a power of attorney in the name of A3 and made arrangements to sell the property for a sum of Rs.1,15,000/-. It is further alleged that they have sold the property and, for the past four years, have not paid the sale consideration. In this connection, an FIR was registered on 10.07.2004 alleging cheating. The fact remains that the power of attorney was executed during 2021 and the property was also sold in the year 2021. Taking into consideration of the above peculiar circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

5. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Kancheepuram**, on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)**, with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;



Crl.O.P.No.7817 of 2026

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of 30 days, and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(e) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

27.03.2026

cda



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.7817 of 2026

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Kancheepuram.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Kancheepuram.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.7817 of 2026

C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

cda

Crl.O.P.No.7817 of 2026

27.03.2026