



WEB COPY

CRL OP No.7628 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 26-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No.7628 of 2026

Mr.Abdus Sathar Mohammed Ibrahim,
S/o.Mohammed Ibrahim,
No.9/21, Swamy Nagar Extension - 1,
1st Street,
Ullagaram,
Kancheepuram - 600 091.

...Petitioner/A8

Vs

The State Rep.by,
The Inspector of Police,
S7, Madipakkam Police Station,
Chennai - 600 091.
Cr.No.1111 of 2025.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS to grant an order directing the Respondent Police to release the Petitioner/Accused No.8 on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No. 1111 of 2025 on the file of the S-7 Madipakkam Police Station.

For Petitioner:

Mr.Che Guvera

For Respondent:

Mr.P.Dhileepan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner/A8, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 318(4) and 306 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, in Crime No.1111 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner's father was one of the Trustees at the time of formation of the "*Aljamia Trust;*" that the petitioner/A8, along with other accused, has engaged in various malpractices and attempted to seize control of the Trust's administration. Furthermore, he attempted to fabricate records and enrich himself by misappropriating the Trust properties. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner/A8 submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that the co-accused, A3 and A7, were already granted anticipatory bail in CrI.O.P.No.2888 of 2026 dated 12.02.2026 and CrI.O.P.No.7447 of 2026 dated 24.03.2026. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and he is ready to cooperate for the investigation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (CrI.Side) appearing for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution's case and strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY

5. Heard the submission made by the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. The entire issue is in receipt of fabrication of the record of a Trust. The learned counsel for the petitioner/A8 would submit that the FIR was registered on 29.10.2025. Taking into consideration of the registration of the FIR in the month of October 2025, and upon the fact that the co-accused, A3 and A7 were already granted anticipatory bail in Crl.O.P.No.2888 of 2026 dated 12.02.2026 and Crl.O.P.No.7447 of 2026 dated 24.03.2026, apart from that, at this length of time, no custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required. Hence, this Court is inclined to enlarge him on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Alandur, Chennai**, on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)**, with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of



receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter, as and when required for the interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(e) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

dk

26-03-2026



To

1. The Judicial Magistrate – II,
Alandur, Chennai.
2. The Inspector of Police,
S7, Madipakkam Police Station,
Chennai - 600 091.
3. The High Court of Madras,
Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No.7628 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

dk

CRL OP No. 7628 of 2026

26-03-2026