



WEB COPY

WP No. 12794 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 06-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C. SARAVANAN

WP No. 12794 of 2026

and

WMP Nos.14000 & 14003 of 2026

Tvl Shree MTK Textiles Private Limited
Rep. by its Authorised Signatory
Mr. Krishnasamy Gurusamy Rajkumar,
57, Ramasamy layout, DPF street,
Pappanaickenpalayam,
Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The Assistant Commissioner (ST) (FAC)
P.N. Palayam Assessment Circle,
Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a Writ of Certiorari, to call for the records pertaining to the Impugned Assessment Order in Ref. No. ZD331225159151S dated 10.12.2025 under Section 73 of the CGST/TNGST Act, 2017 and uploaded the same along with the summary of order in DRC07 for the Financial Year 2021-22 from the files of the respondent herein and to quash the same.

For Petitioner(s):

Ms.Shridevi.H

For Respondent(s):

Ms.Amirtha Poonkodi Dinakaran
Government Advocate

**ORDER**

M.Amirtha Poonkodi Dinakaran, learned Government Advocate takes notice for the Respondent.

2. This Writ Petition is being disposed of at the time of admission with the consent of the learned counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Government Advocate for the Respondent.

3. In this Writ Petition, the Petitioner has challenged the Impugned Assessment Order in Ref. No. ZD331225159151S dated 10.12.2025 under Section 73 of the CGST/TNGST Act, 2017 and uploaded the same along with the summary of order in DRC07 for the Financial Year 2021-22 from the files of the respondent which was preceded by a Show Cause Notice in GST DRC-01 dated 26.09.2025 wherein the Petitioner was also called upon to file a reply by 10.10.2025. However, the Petitioner did not take advantage of the same and has thus, suffered the impugned Order dated 10.12.2025.

4. The Petitioner was also issued with Reminders on 24.10.2025, 30.10.2025 and 06.11.2025 which called upon the Petitioner to file a reply and to appear for a personal hearing. The Petitioner however neither filed any reply nor appeared for the personal hearings. Thus, the impugned Order has been passed.



5. It is noticed that the limitation for filing an appeal under Section 107 of the respective GST enactments, 2017 against the impugned Order has already expired long before. However, the present Writ Petition has been filed only on 27.03.2026, but within condonable period of limitation.

6. At this stage, the learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner is willing to pre-deposit 10% of the disputed tax as a condition for *de novo* adjudication.

7. The learned counsel for the Petitioner has also made an endorsement to that effect in the Court Bundle, which is extracted hereunder:-

*“ The petitioner agrees to pay 10% of the
disputed tax amount”.*

8. Recording the same, the case is remitted back to the Respondent to pass a fresh order subject to the Petitioner depositing 10% of the disputed tax in cash or from the Petitioner's Electronic Cash Register within a period of thirty (30) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

9. Within such time, the Petitioner shall also file a reply to the Show Cause Notice in GST DRC-01 dated 26.09.2025 together with requisite documents to substantiate the case by treating the impugned Order dated



10.12.2025 as an addendum to the Show Cause Notice dated 26.09.2025.

WEB COPY

10. In case the Petitioner complies with the above stipulations, the Respondent shall proceed to pass a final order on merits and in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of three (3) months of such reply / pre-deposit. Subject to the Petitioner complying with the above stipulations, the attachment of the bank account of the Petitioner shall also stand automatically vacated.

11. It is made clear that bank attachment shall be lifted subject to the deposit of 10% of the disputed tax as ordered above and the Petitioner not being in arrears of any other amount for any other tax period barring the amount demanded under the impugned Order.

12. In case the Petitioner fails to comply with any of the stipulations, the Respondent is at liberty to proceed against the Petitioner to recover the tax in accordance with law as if this Writ Petition was dismissed *in limine* today.

13. Needless to state, before passing any such order, the Respondent shall give due notice to the Petitioner.



14. This Writ Petition stands disposed of with the above observations. No costs. Connected Writ Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

WEB COPY

06-04-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

MFA

To

The Assistant Commissioner (ST) (FAC)
P.N. Palayam Assessment Circle,
Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu.



WEB COPY

WP No. 12794 of 2



C.SARAVANAN, J.

MFA

WP No. 12794 of 2026

06-04-2026