



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 7533 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 25-03-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN**

**CRL OP No. 7533 of 2026**

Rajneesh Verma

..Petitioner

Vs

The State rep. by,  
The Inspector of Police,  
CCD II Police Station, Coimbatore Police Station,  
Coimbatore District. (Crime No.28/2025)

..Respondent

**PRAYER :** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the Petitioner on bail in Crime No.28 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner:

Mr.K.Prabhakaran

For Respondent:

Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar,  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

### **ORDER**

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.01.2026 for the alleged offences under Sections 66(D) of IT Act and 318(4), 336(2) and 338 of BNS (Sections 420, 465 & 467 of Indian Penal Code, 1860), in Crime No.28 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the accused person had misappropriated a sum of Rs.52 lakhs from the defacto complainant. Hence, the case.



3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that he was remanded to judicial custody on 28.01.2026 and there are nine accused involved in this case. Even according to the prosecution, a sum of Rs.42 lakhs has already been recovered. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated and he has been implicated only during investigation without any direct material. Hence, he prayed for bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent would strongly oppose the bail application on the ground that the petitioner, by impersonating Anish Presanna Motors, which had an account with the defacto complainant bank and with whom the bank had long standing transaction, made a fake call and thereby cheated the bank to the tune of about Rs.50 lakhs. It is further submitted that with great difficulty they have secured the petitioner and the investigation is at the preliminary stage and if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, the same would jeopardize the prosecution case.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.

6. Considering the submissions of the learned counsel on either side, it is seen that the accused person has misappropriated a sum of Rs. 52 lakhs from the



defacto complainant. Further, taking into consideration the strong objection of the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side), the serious allegation against the petitioner and the fact that the investigation is at a preliminary stage, this Court is of the view that if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, the same would jeopardize the prosecution case. Hence, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

**25-03-2026**

NSL

1. The Inspector of Police,  
CCD II Police Station, Coimbatore Police Station,  
Coimbatore District.

2. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 7533 of 2



**C.KUMARAPPAN, J.**

**NSL**

**CRL OP No. 7533 of 2026**

**25-03-2026**