



CRP No. 2127 of 2026



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 04-06-2026**

WEB COPY

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE**

**CRP No. 2127 of 2026**

**AND**

**CMP NO. 9326 OF 2026**

1. Bhagya K Mohan

Petitioner(s)

Vs

V.R. Krishnaiah Setty (Died)

1. K.R. Manjula

2.V.K. Venkatachalapathy

3.Lakshmikanthamma

4.Yasodha

5.V.K. Prema

6.Sujatha

7.Baby Rani

8.Seluvamma

9.Jayaprakash

10.Raghavendra

11.Soundaravalli


12.The Tahsildar

Taluk Office, Denkanikotta.

Respondent(s)

**PRAYER**

Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India to set



aside the fair and decretal order passed in IA No.7/2025 in OS No.83/2008 dated 30.10.2025 on the file of the Additional District Munsif, Denkanikottai.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner(s): Mr.S.C.Vishwanth

For Respondent(s): Mr. S. Gunasekaran for R12


### **ORDER**

The civil revision petition has been filed, challenging the impugned order dated 30.10.2025 passed by the Additional District Munsif, Denkanikottai in I.A. No. 7 of 2025 in O.S. No. 83 of 2008.

2. The aforesaid application was filed by the petitioner under Order I Rule 10 of CPC, seeking to implead herself as a party to the suit in O.S. No. 83 of 2008 pending on the file of the Additional District Munsif, Denkanikottai. The trial court has dismissed the said application on the ground that the petitioner had approached the court belatedly with an inordinate delay to implead herself as a party to the proceedings.

3. The suit is of the year 2008, whereas the interlocutory application filed by the petitioner was only in the year 2025. The suit was filed for declaration of title by the plaintiff. The petitioner also claims ownership of the same.

4. As a party, who claims ownership, she ought to have been vigilant in prosecuting the matter. Rightly, the trial court has dismissed the application filed by the petitioner, by observing that the petitioner has approached the trial court



to implead herself as a party to the suit after a lapse of more than sixteen years from the date of filing of the suit.

WEB COPY

5. This court does not find any infirmity in the findings of the trial court.

6. For the foregoing reasons, this court does not find any merit in this civil revision petition and accordingly, the civil revision petition is dismissed.

No Costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

**04-06-2026**

Index: Yes/No  
Speaking/Non-speaking order  
Internet: Yes  
Neutral Citation: Yes/No  
ab

To

1. The Additional District Munsif,  
Denkanikottai.
2. The Section Officer, V.R. Section,  
Madras High Court.
3. The Tahsildar  
Taluk Office, Denkanikotta.



WEB COPY

CRP No. 2127 of 2026



**ABDUL QUDDHOSE J.**

ab

**CRP No. 2127 of 2026  
AND CMP NO. 9326 OF  
2026**

**04-06-2026**