



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.8672 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.04.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl. O.P. No. 8672 of 2026

1.R. Chandrasekaran
2.C. Sheela

... Petitioners

vs.

1.State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch (DCB),
Kancheepuram District.
(Crime No.9 of 2024)

2.N. Anbumani

... Respondents

Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to record the contents of this joint
compromise memo and consequently quash the impugned first information
report in Crime No.9 of 2024 on the file of the 1st respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr. M. Dinesh

For Respondents : Mr. Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam,
Additional Public Prosecutor for R1
Asst., by Ms.T. Harshana
Mr. L.P. Shanmugasundaram for R2



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.8672 of 2026

ORDER

The petitioners / A1 & A2 in Crime No.9 of 2024 for offences under Sections 465, 468, 471, 420 and 34 IPC on the file of the 1st respondent Police has filed this Criminal Original Petition.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant executed a General Power of Attorney on 08.05.2023 in favour of the 1st petitioner for the purpose of developing and selling his property measuring 0.27 ½ cents. It is claimed that the 1st petitioner misused this power and fraudulently transferred the property to his wife / 2nd petitioner through a sale deed dated 13.05.2024. Further, in order to execute the sale deed, the 1st petitioner fabricated a Life Certificate of the defacto complainant using forged signatures, purportedly issued by one Dr. Kumar / A3. Further it is alleged that a cheque for Rs.50,00,000/- issued by the 1st petitioner was dishonoured with the remark “Stop Payment”. On the complaint of the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent Police registered an FIR in Crime No.9 of 2024 for offence under Sections for offences under Sections 465, 468, 471, 420 and 34 IPC against the petitioners.



3. The case is still at the stage of investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.

4. Today the petitioners and the 2nd respondent appeared before this Court and their identities were confirmed by the 1st respondent Police. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves. The petitioners and the 2nd respondent have filed separate affidavit before this Court and also filed the Joint Compromise Memo.

5. Under such circumstances, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the First Information Report pending, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature. In the light of the guidelines given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **2017 9 SCC 641- (Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath)**, and after exercising due caution as advised by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **The State of Madhya Pradesh v. Dhruv Gurjar and Another** reported in **(2019) 2 MLJ Crl 10)**, this Court in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 482



Crl.O.P.No.8672 of 2026

Cr.P.C., quashes the First Information Report on the file of the 1st respondent
Police.

6. This Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the investigation in Crime No.9 of 2024, on the file of the 1st respondent police, is quashed.

10.04.2026

Neutral Citation: Yes/No
AT

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch (DCB),
Kancheepuram District.
- 2.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.8672 of 2026

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

AT

Crl. O.P. No. 8672 of 2026

10.04.2026