



WEB COPY

CRL OP No.7128 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 18-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No.7128 of 2026

Anuciya
W/o.Mr.Chandran,
No.2, IInd Cross Street,
Arumugam Nagar,
Chinna Porur,
Chennai District.

...Petitioner/Sole
Accused

Vs

The State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
R-11, Ramapuram Police Station,
Chennai - 600 116.
Cr.No.83 of 2026.

...Respondent/
Complainant

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioner/accused on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.83 of 2026 pending investigation on file of the Respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Sarathkumar

For Respondent: Mr.P.Dhileepan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offences **under Sections 296(b), 118(1), and 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023**, in **Crime No.83 of 2026**, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that in the dispute with regard to the feeding of street dogs, the petitioner abused the *de-facto* complainant in filthy language and assaulted him with a wooden log. Due to this, the *de-facto* complainant sustained injuries and was admitted to the hospital. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and she has not committed any offence as alleged by the *de-facto* complainant and she has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and she is ready to cooperate for the investigation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution's case and, upon instructions,



submitted that the injured was discharged from the hospital. However, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Heard the submission made by the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. From the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side), the entire issue surfaces on account of the feeding of street dogs by this *de-facto* complainant, and when it was questioned, there was an altercation; the petitioner assaulted the *de-facto* complainant. However, the *de-facto* complainant was discharged from the hospital. Considering the discharge of the *de-facto* complainant and considering the fact that the petitioner is a woman, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Poonamalle**, on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)**,



with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

WEB COPY

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioner shall report before the respondent police, twice a day at 10.30 a.m., and 5.30 p.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for the interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***;

(e) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

18-03-2026

dk



To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.I,
Poonamalle.

2. The Inspector of Police,
R-11 Ramapuram Police Station,
Chennai-600 116.

3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.

CRL OP No.7128 of 2



WEB COPY



WEB COPY

CRL OP No.7128 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

dk

CRL OP No. 7128 of 2026

18-03-2026