



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 7422 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 7422 of 2026

1. Ranjith @ Ranjithkumar
2. Arun @ Arunkumar

..Petitioners

Vs

The State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Kiliyanoor Police Station,
Villupuram District.
(Crime No.66 of 2026)

..Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the Petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent police concerned in Crime No.66 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner:

Mr.R.Parthiban

For Respondent:

Mr.P.Dhileepan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 329(4), 351(2) of BNS (Section 341, 294(b), 323, 324, 448, 506(ii) of Indian Penal Code, 1860 in Crime No.66 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the defacto complainant reside in the same area. On the date of the incident, the petitioners were traveling by car toward their house. At that time, the de facto complainant, who was approaching from the opposite direction on a two-wheeler slightly scratched the side of the petitioners' car. Consequently, the petitioners abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the entire occurrence in furtherance of the vehicle accident. He further submitted that they are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and ready to co-operate for investigation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution's case and submitted that there is a case and counter-case, with no serious injuries sustained by any party. However, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.

WEB COPY

6. Considering the submissions of the learned counsel for both sides, it is seen that the entire occurrence arose from a vehicular accident. Taking into consideration of the totality of the circumstances and at this length of time, the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required, hence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned District Munsif -Cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Vanur**, on condition that **the petitioners shall execute a bond each for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)**, with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form



No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioners shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(e) If the petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

24-03-2026

NSL

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Kiliyanoor Police Station,
Villupuram District.

2. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.

3. The District Munsif -Cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Vanur.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 7422 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

NSL

CRL OP No. 7422 of 2026

24-03-2026