



WEB COPY

CRL OP No.7123 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 18-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No.7123 of 2026

Miltonraja
S/o.Dickson,
No.15/14, 14-1, Anna Nagar,
Sundakkamuthur,
Coimbatore South,
Coimbatore District.

...Petitioner/A1

Vs

State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Perur Police Station,
Coimbatore District.
Cr.No.33 of 2026.

...Respondent/
Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., to enlarge the Petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.33 of 2026, pending on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioner: Mr.W.Camyles Gandhi

For Respondent: Mr.P.Dhileepan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner/A1, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offences **under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), and 351(3) of the B.N.S. Act, 2023**, in **Crime No.33 of 2026**, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, the petitioner/A1, along with other accused abused the *de-facto* complainant in filthy language and assaulted him with a wooden log. Due to this, the *de-facto* complainant sustained injuries and was admitted to the hospital. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner/A1 submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any such offence as alleged by the *de-facto* complainant and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that he is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and he is ready to cooperate with the investigation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that the *de-facto* complainant is the former driver of the petitioner/A1, and that five previous cases have been registered against the petitioner. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent.

WEB COPY

6. From the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side), this is an issue between the former employee of the petitioner and petitioner. According to the Government Advocate (Crl.Side), the petitioner has got five previous cases, which clearly demonstrates that, as and when the petitioner was enlarged on bail, he subverted the justice and misused the liberty given by this Court. Hence, he does not deserve anticipatory bail.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

dk

18-03-2026



To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.I,
Coimbatore.

WEB COPY

2. The Inspector of Police,
Perur Police Station,
Coimbatore District.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No.7123 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

dk

CRL OP No. 7123 of 2026

18-03-2026