



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 7280 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 7280 of 2026

1. Karan Bardrinarayanan
Son of Moorthy,
D.No.29/24, Mariamman Koil Street,
Jerina Kadu, Yercaud,
Salem District.
2. Mani @ Maniknadan
Son of Sakthivel,
D.No.24/80, Jerina Kadu,
5th Street, Yercaud, Salem District.
3. Dhanush
S/o.Selvam Joseph,
84/ Al Mariamman Koil Street,
Jerina Kadu, Yercaud, Salem District.
4. Deepak

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State, Rep. by The Inspector of Police,
Yercaud Police Station,
Salem District.
Cr.No.25 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, to pass orders and enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Cr. No. 25 of 2026, on the file of the respondent and pass such further or other orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render justice.



WEB COPY



For Petitioner(s):

M/s.R.Chakkaravarthy

For Respondent(s):

Mr.P.Dhileepan

Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 193(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 109 of BNS in Crime No. 25 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and the other accused came to the Resort, where the defacto complainant is working and picked quarrel with him and abused him in filthy language and had taken away a mobile phone and a cash of Rs.5,000/- from him and also assaulted him with hands and caused injuries to him. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the co-accused has already been granted bail by this Court in Crl.O.P.No.5690 of 2026 dated 05.03.2026 and another accused was also granted bail by the learned Principal Sessions Judge, in Crl.M.P.No.649 of 2026 dated 12.03.2026. He further submitted that the de facto complainant had stolen the petitioner's mobile phone, and when the petitioners questioned the same, a false complaint has been



lodged against them. Hence, he prayed for anticipatory bail for the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) strongly opposed the petition on the ground that the petitioners are alleged to have caused injury to the de facto complainant, who was admitted in the hospital for a period of six days.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to the submissions made on either side.

6. Considering the totality of the circumstances, and taking note of the fact that the co-accused have already been released on bail, this Court is of the view that custodial interrogation of the petitioners are not required at this stage. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Yercaud**, on condition that **the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)**, with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the



satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

WEB COPY

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioners shall sign before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(e) If the petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

MPA

23-03-2026



To

1.The District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Yercaud.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Yercaud Police Station,
Salem District.

Cr.No.25 of 2026.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 7280 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

MPA

CRL OP No. 7280 of 2026

23-03-2026