



WEB COPY

CRL OP No.7149 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 18-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No.7149 of 2026

Kalaiarasan
S/o.Balakrishnan,
No.1213/a/1, Kumbakonam Main Road,
Keezhakollai, Marungur,
Cuddalore - 607103.

...Petitioner/A4

Vs

State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Neyveli Township Police Station,
Cuddalore District.
(Crime No. 372 of 2025)

...Respondent/
Complainant

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, to enlarge the Petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest by the respondent police in Crime No. 372 of 2025 on the file of Neyveli Township Police Station, Cuddalore District.

For Petitioner : M/s.Chandrasekar Sundarraaj

For Respondent: Mr.P.Dhileepan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner/A4, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence **under Section 303(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita**, in **Crime No.372 of 2025**, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.




WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner had committed a theft of a Toyota Innova car bearing Reg.No.PY 05 VF 5327. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner/A4 submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any such offence as alleged by the *de-facto* complainant and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that he is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and he is ready to cooperate with the investigation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that two previous cases have been registered against the petitioner. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent.




6. From the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side), the petitioner has got two previous cases involving the Tamil Nadu Property (Prevention of Damage and Loss) Act, (TNPPDL Act), and the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act. Considering the nature of the allegation against this petitioner and considering his past conduct, this Court is of the firm view that, as and when the petitioner was enlarged on bail, he subverted the justice and misused the liberty. Hence, he does not deserve anticipatory bail.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

dk

18-03-2026



To

1. The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate,
Neyveli.

WEB COPY

2. The Inspector of Police,
Neyveli Township Police Station,
Cuddalore District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No.7149 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

dk

CRL OP No. 7149 of 2026

18-03-2026