



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 18-03-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN**

**CRL OP No. 7015 of 2026**

Vinothkumar

..Petitioner

Vs

State Rep. by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Arani Taluk Police Station,  
Arani, Tiruvannamalai District.  
Crime No.83 of 2026

..Respondent

**PRAYER :** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the Petitioner on bail in Crime No.83 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent.

For Petitioner: Mr.M.Vignesh

For Respondent: Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar,  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

### **ORDER**

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 22.02.2026 for the alleged offences under Section 103(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 302 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 in Crime No.83 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner married the deceased Kavitha in the year 2016 and they were living together. It is alleged that there



WEB COPY

were frequent quarrels between them, as the petitioner suspected the deceased of having an illicit relationship. On 21.02.2026 at about 12.30 a.m., during such quarrel the petitioner assaulted the deceased, due to which she sustained injuries and died. Hence, the case was registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence as alleged. The learned counsel would further submit that there was no intention on the part of the petitioner to cause death and the case has been falsely foisted. It is also submitted that the petitioner is ready to cooperate with the investigation and abide by any condition imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the petitioner has committed the murder of his wife and the allegation is grave in nature. It is further submitted that the petitioner has got 24 previous cases, which shows his criminal antecedents. The learned Government Advocate would submit that the investigation is still pending and at a preliminary stage. It is also submitted that if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, it may affect the investigation and the prosecution case. Hence, he strongly opposed the grant of bail.



5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.

WEB COPY

6. This Court is of the view that from the submission of the learned counsel on either side, it is seen that the allegation against the petitioner is that he has caused the death of his wife. It is also seen that the investigation is still in a preliminary stage and at this juncture, considering the bail application is premature. Therefore, considering the gravity of the offence, the antecedents of the petitioner and the stage of investigation, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed

**18-03-2026**

NSL

To

1. The Inspector of Police, Arani Taluk Police Station,  
Arani, Tiruvannamalai District.
2. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 7015 of 2



**C.KUMARAPPAN, J.**

**NSL**

**CRL OP No. 7015 of 2026**

**18-03-2026**