



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 7388 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 24-03-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN**

**CRL OP No. 7388 of 2026**

N. Sudhakar S/o. Nagarajan,  
Sagadevan Kottai,  
Peranampattu Post,  
Tirupattur Taluk and District-635652

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

**The State represented by:**

The Inspector of Police,  
Mathur Police Station,  
Krishnagiri District  
Crime No.361 of 2019

..Respondent(s)

**PRAYER:** The Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with the case in C.C. No.153/2019, on the file of the District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Pochampalli.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr. J.Pradeep

For Respondent(s):

Mr. S. Vinoth Kumar,  
Government Advocate (Criminal side)

**ORDER**

The petitioner, who was arrested under a P.T. warrant and remanded to judicial custody on 19.08.2025 for the alleged offences under Sections 331(4)



and 305 of B.N.S. in Cr. No.361 of 2019 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the petitioner is under incarceration since 19.08.2025 and the petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any condition that may be imposed by this Court and hence prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent police strongly opposed to enlarge the petitioner on bail on the ground that there are 51 previous cases against this petitioner and he has been remanded into judicial custody in this case with great difficulty on execution of non-bailable warrant and hence if bail is granted to him, there is every possibility of absconding.

4. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.

5. The learned Government Advocate strongly opposed to enlarge the petitioner on bail on the ground that non-bailable warrant was issued against



WEB COPY

this petitioner in different different cases and that they were able to secure the petitioner with great difficulty and only after securing him, two cases are now completed. Though in those two cases, the petitioner was acquitted, the fact remains that only because of his non-co-operative attitude, the trial could not be proceeded and there are 51 previous cases pending against the petitioner. In view of such factual position, this Court is of the firm view that as rightly contended by the learned Government Advocate, if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, there is every possibility of further delay in progress of the long pending criminal cases. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is **dismissed**.

**24-03-2026**

MJS

**Note:**

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.
2. The Inspector of Police, Mathur Police Station, Krishnagiri District



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 7388 of 2



**C.KUMARAPPAN, J.**

**MJS**

**CRL OP No. 7388 of 2026**

**24-03-2026**