



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 7542 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 7542 of 2026

Pojarajan S/o.Samayapandi,
5/288-C, Mohammed Ali Street,
Ambethkarnagar 2nd Street,
Mani Nagar, Paramakudi Taluka,
Ramanathapuram - 623 707.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu represented by:

The Inspector of Police
Uthiyur Police Station,
Tiruppur District.
Crime No. 233/2025.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: The Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No. 233/2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr. Manojkumar. N

For Respondent(s):

Mr. S. Vinoth Kumar,
Government Advocate (Criminal side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 23.11.2025 for the alleged offences under Section 304(2) of B.N.S. in Cr. No.233 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



2. The *case of the prosecution* is that on 23.11.2025, while the defacto complainant was travelling on Tiruppur – Dharapuram Road, the accused persons, who were coming on their vehicle behind the defacto complainant, had committed theft of cell phone of the defacto complainant and hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the petitioner is under incarceration since 23.11.2025 and he is ready and willing to abide by any condition that may be imposed by this Court and hence prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and strongly opposed to enlarge the petitioner on bail on the ground that the petitioner has also involved in 7 other previous cases.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.

6. The learned Government Advocate strongly opposed the bail application stating that the petitioner has 7 previous cases and he would also submit that though the stolen cell phone was recovered, the very conduct of the



petitioner involving in similar nature of offences one after another, would only make him to embolden him, if he enlarged on bail. The said contention cannot be denied. Therefore, considering the nature of allegations and upon the fact that the petitioner has been involved in so many cases, this Court is of the view that the petitioner is not entitled to any bail at this stage.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is **dismissed**.

25-03-2026

MJS

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.
2. The Inspector of Police, Uthiyur Police Station, Tiruppur District.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 7542 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

MJS

CRL OP No. 7542 of 2026

25-03-2026