



Cont.P. No.1355 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25.06.2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI,
CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.ARUL MURUGAN

Cont.P. No.1355 of 2026

A.Tamilmaran
246, West Pondi Road,
Valavanur Post,
Villupuram Taluk & District : Petitioner

Versus

1.P.Amudha, IAS
Revenue Secretary,
Government of Tamil Nadu,
Secretariat, Chennai 600 009

2.S.Malathi Helen IAS
District Collector,
Chengalpattu District,
Chengalpattu-603001

3.J.Radhakrishnan IAS
Commissioner of Land Administration,
Ezhilagam, Chepauk,
Chennai 600 005

4.Nallasivam
Revenue Divisional Officer,
Chengalpet Division,
Chengalpattu-603 001 : Respondents



Cont.P. No.1355 of 2026

Prayer: Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act to punish respondents 1 to 4 for the willful and deliberate disobedience of the order dated 25.10.2025 in Writ Petition No.39605 of 2025.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Senthamizhan
For Respondents : Mr.Mohammed Fayaz Ali,
Government Pleader

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

This contempt petition alleges non-compliance of the order dated 25.10.2025 in WP No.39605 of 2025, whereby the writ petition was disposed of by directing the respondents to enquire into the complaint made by the petitioner, if not already done and pass appropriate orders after affording an opportunity of hearing to the alleged encroachers, within an outer time-limit of four months.

2. Learned State Counsel would submit that an opportunity of hearing was given to the petitioner. He has also produced a letter dated 15.06.2026; wherein it is stated by the respondents that the inquiry is a long drawn process and action has been initiated against the encroachers.



Cont.P. No.1355 of 2026

WEB COPY

3. The area involved lies in an extent of 97 acres 78 cents. Therefore, a detailed and elaborate inquiry is necessary. The letter dated 15.06.2026 is taken on record.

4. It is seen that the respondents have already initiated proceedings. The respondents are directed to take further action in accordance with law. We do not find any willful disobedience of the order passed by this Court. Accordingly, the contempt petition is closed.

5. It would be open to the petitioner to file application for revival, in case the proceedings are not completed within a reasonable time.

(SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI, C.J.) (G.ARUL MURUGAN, J.)
25.06.2026

Index : Yes/No
Neutral Citation : Yes/No
tar



WEB COPY



Cont.P. No.1355 of 2026

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND

G.ARUL MURUGAN, J.

(tar)

Cont.P. No.1355 of 2026

25.06.2026