



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 6951 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 6951 of 2026

Sriman
S/o.Kannan,
No.1/1, West Street,
Vennankuli,
Meensurutty,
Ariyalur District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State rep. by Inspector of Police
Sethiyathope Police Station,
Cuddalore District.
Crime No.286/2025

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with crime no.286/2025 on the file of the respondent and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.G.Nirmal Krishnan

For Respondent(s): Mr.P.Dhileepan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 318(2), 316(2), 318(4) of BNS 2023 in Crime No.286 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant lodged a complaint alleging that the petitioner along with other accused, induced him to pay a sum of Rs.17,50,000/- on the pretext of redeeming 217 grams of pledged gold jewels. However, the petitioner and others failed to return the amount, thereby cheated the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the occurrence took place on 03.12.2025 and the FIR was came to be registered on 17.12.2025. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) for the respondent police opposed the grant of anticipatory bail stating that the petitioner has one previous case pending against him.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and also perused the records available.

6. Taking into consideration the seriousness of the allegation and upon the contention of the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) that custodial



interrogation of the petitioner is necessary to recover the sum of Rs.17,50,000/-
and also considering the fact that the petitioner has one previous case, this Court
is of the firm view that the petitioner does not deserve anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

02-04-2026

DRL

To

1.The Inspector of Police
Sethiyathope Police Station,
Cuddalore District.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 6951 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

DRL

CRL OP No. 6951 of 2026

02-04-2026