



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 7005 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 18-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 7005 of 2026

Sathish

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Kunnam Police Station
Perambalur District.
Crime No.6 of 2026

..Respondent(s)

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No. 6 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s):	Mr.R.Rajasekar
For Respondent(s):	Mr.P.Dhileepan Government Advocate (Criminal side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 24 (1) of Cigarette and Other Tobacco Products Act, 2003 and Section 77 of the Juvenile Justice (CIS and Protection of Children) Act, 2015, in Crime No.6 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, the petitioner was found in illegal possession of 178 kg of banned tobacco products. Hence, the complaint.



3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that this is the third anticipatory bail application. He further submitted that he is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and that he is ready to cooperate with the investigation. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner. He further submitted that the quantity of contraband involved in this case is 178.4 kgs worth of Rs.2,74,000/-. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions.

6. Considering the nature of offence and the fact that the quantity of banned tobacco involved (178.4kg) is substantial, this Court is of the view that, the petitioner does not deserve anticipatory bail. Hence, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.



7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

WEB COPY

18-03-2026

ep

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Kunnam Police Station
Perambalur District.C

2.The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 7005 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

ep

CRL OP No. 7005 of 2026

18-03-2026