



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 7289 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 7289 of 2026

R Ravi
S/o.Ranganathan,
No.3, 2nd Street,
Pudupattinam Kuppam,
Kalpakkam,
Tamil Nadu-603 102.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State rep.by,
Inspector of Police,
E-4, Kalpakkam Police Station,
Chengalpattu.
Cr.No.11/2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, to grant Anticipatory Bail to the Petitioner/Accused in the event of arrest by the respondent police in Crime No. 11 of 2026 pending investigation before the Respondent and to pass such other suitable orders.

For Petitioner(s): P.Vishnu

For Respondent(s): Mr.P.Dhileepan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 121(1), 351(3) of BNS in Crime No.11 of 2026



on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 16.02.2026 at about 8 am., the defacto complainant, who is a police officer, along with about 15 male police personnel and 15 women police personnel, was on security duty at Pudhupattinam. At that time, it is alleged that a clash arose between the Pudhupattinam group and the Oyyalikuppam group near the CISF Gate.

2.1. It is further alleged that when the defacto complainant and other police personnel attempted to intervene and prevent the clash, the petitioner/1st accused, along with three other accused persons, threatened the defacto complainant and allegedly assaulted the defacto complainant with a wooden log on the left side of his head. It is also alleged that the other accused persons assaulted the defacto complainant with bare hands on his stomach and back and further attacked the police personnel who came to rescue him.

2.2. It is further alleged that other police personnel rescued the defacto complainant from the alleged assault and he was taken in an ambulance to the Government Hospital. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and sought anticipatory bail for the petitioner.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and there is no previous case pending against the petitioner. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and also perused the records available.

6. while considering the factual position, it is seen that the entire incident arose out of a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the de facto complainant during a temple festival.

7. Taking into consideration the nature of the allegations, the overt act attributed to the petitioner, the fact that the FIR came to be registered on 16.02.2026, and further that the injured has already been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date



on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Tirukazhikundram**, on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)**, with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioner shall report before the trial Court everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks.

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***;



(e) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

WEB COPY

23-03-2026

MPA

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Tirukazhikundram.
2. The Inspector of Police,
E-4, Kalpakkam Police Station,
Chengalpattu.
Cr.No.11/2026.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 7289 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

MPA

CRL OP No. 7289 of 2026

23-03-2026