



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 8042 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 8042 of 2026

Arjunan S/o. Mani,
Kittapaiyanur Village,
Natrampalli Taluk,
Tirupattur Distirct.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu Represented by

The Inspector of Police,
Natrampalli Police Station,
Tirupattur District.
Crime No.298/2014.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: The Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in S.C. No.29/2024 pending Trial on the file of the Learned Court of Sessions Division of Tirupattur, Tirupattur District.

For Petitioner(s):	M/s. E. Kannadasan
For Respondent(s):	Mr. S. Vinoth Kumar, Government Advocate (Criminal side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.02.2026 for the alleged offences under Sections 332(b), 70, 309(4), 351(3), 64(2)(m) r/w 3(5) of B.N.S in Cr. No.298 of 2014 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



2. The *case of the prosecution* is that on 24.09.2014 at about 7.45 p.m., when the defacto complainant was sleeping at her home, the accused persons trespassed into her house and threatened her and committed rape against her will and thereafter, snatched her Thali weighing $\frac{1}{2}$ sovereign and hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that non-bailable warrant was issued on 03.02.2026 and the petitioner was remanded into judicial custody on 28.02.2026 on execution of non-bailable warrant. He would further submit that case is at the stage of trial and the petitioner is ready and willing to co-operate for trial and hence prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent police strongly opposed the bail application on the ground that there are 19 previous cases pending against the petitioner and the present FIR is of the year 2014 and the petitioner became absent on crucial stage, thereby there was a huge delay in proceeding with the case hence if the petitioner is enlarged on bail,

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.



6. Taking into consideration of the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate, upon the fact that there are 19 previous cases pending against the petitioner and upon the tactics played by the petitioner by absenting himself at crucial stage, this Court is of the firm view that if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, there will be further delay in proceeding with the trial and therefore, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is **dismissed**.

30-03-2026

MJS

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.
2. The Inspector of Police, Natrampalli Police Station, Tirupattur District.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 8042 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

MJS

CRL OP No. 8042 of 2026

30-03-2026