



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 6670 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 16-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 6670 of 2026

1. Akash. J

2. Gokul

3. Saranraj

..Petitioners

Vs

The State rep.by,
Inspector of Police,
Neelangarai Police station,
Chennai District.
Crime No.93 of 2026.

..Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.93 of 2026 on the file of the Inspector of Police, Neelangarai Police Station, Chennai District.

For Petitioners: Mr.N.Raja

For Respondent: Mr.P.Dhileepan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 296(b), 324(2) and 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023 in Crime No.93 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that on 07.03.2026 at about 18.00 hours, the petitioners went to a bar for consuming alcohol and ordered food. Since there was delay in serving the food, the petitioners refused the same, which led to an argument between the petitioners and the shopkeepers. Subsequently, they engaged in a wordy quarrel and attacked the defacto complainant. Hence, the case was registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. The learned counsel would further submit that there was no intention to commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution. It is also submitted that the petitioners have no previous cases and the petitioners are ready to cooperate with the investigation and will not abscond or tamper with witnesses. Hence, he prayed for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent submitted the investigation is at a preliminary stage. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) would strongly oppose the application stating that if the petitioners are enlarged on anticipatory bail at this juncture, it would definitely affect the prosecution case as there is a possibility of tampering with evidence and influencing witnesses.



5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.

WEB COPY

6. This Court is of the view that from the submission of the learned counsel on either side, it is seen that the investigation is at a preliminary stage. As rightly contended by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side), if the petitioners are enlarged on anticipatory bail at this juncture, it would definitely affect the prosecution case, as there is a possibility of tampering with evidence and influencing witnesses.

7. Considering the above aspects, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

16-03-2026

NSL

To

1. Inspector of Police,
Neelangarai Police station,
Chennai District.

2. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 6670 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

NSL

CRL OP No. 6670 of 2026

16-03-2026