



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.6502 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 13.03.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

Crl.O.P.No.6502 of 2026

1.S.Nandhinee

2.Yasodha

... Petitioners/A1 & A2

Vs.

State Rep. by
Inspector of Police,
M-8 Sathangadu Police Station,
Chennai – 600 068.
(Crime No.71 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of arrest pending investigation in Crime No.71 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police or on their appearance before the concerned Court.

For Petitioners	:	Mr.Jothimanian M.R
For Respondent	:	Mr.P.Dhileepan
		Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest for the alleged offence **under Section 194 of BNSS alter into 108 of BNS (Section 174 of Cr.P.C alter into 306 of IPC)** in **Crime No.71 of 2025**, on the file of the respondent police seek anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners and other accused forced and threatened the deceased namely Sathish Kumar to repay a debt amount, borrowed by him. The petitioner tried to recover the money by attaching properties from the deceased, due to which the deceased was forced to commit suicide. Hence, the case involves accusations of coercion and abetment of suicide related to debt recovery.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that there is a Civil Court decree against the deceased and that the deceased has also filed an Execution Petition in the year 2023 and therefore there is nothing to do with such suicide. He further submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any such offence as alleged by the defacto complainant and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that they are ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court and they are ready to co-operate with the investigation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions,



Crl.O.P.No.6502 of 2020

submitted that, at the time of taking the body suicide note was recovered wherein there is a reference in respect of these accused and only based upon the suicide note, the First Information Report has been registered. He further submitted that the investigation is in the preliminary stage. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) and upon considering the date of registration of the First Information Report namely 08.03.2025, this Court is of the view that it is not an appropriate case and premature to consider the anticipatory bail application of the petitioners.

6. Accordingly this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

13.03.2026

ssi

C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

ssi

To:

3/4



Crl.O.P.No.6502 of 2026

1.The Inspector of Police,
M-8 Sathangadu Police Station,
Chennai – 600 068.

WEB COPY

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.6502 of 2026

13.03.2026