



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 6317 of 2026

Karthik

..Petitioner

Vs

State represented by
The Inspector of Police
T-16, Semmanchery Police Station,
Chennai District.
Crime No. 10/2026.

..Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.
10 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner:

Mr.S.Vedavalli

For Respondent:

Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on
16.01.2026 for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 125,
126(2), 296(b), 309(4), 311, 324(2) and 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,
2023 in Crime No.10 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on the date of occurrence, the
petitioner along with other accused persons allegedly waylaid the defacto



complainant during night hours, demanded money from him and when he refused, they abused him in filthy language, assaulted him and extorted a sum of Rs.2,700/- and a mobile phone at knife point.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that petitioner/Karthick has been arrayed as A3. According to him, the petitioner was arrested on 16.01.2026 and since then he has been in judicial custody. The learned counsel would further submit that the present case is a false and foisted case. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent strongly opposed the bail application on the ground that petitioner has about seven previous criminal cases pending against him. He would further submit that if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, there is every possibility of his committing further offences and disturbing public peace. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.

6. From the submission of the learned counsel on either side, this Court is of the view that the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and the nature of the



allegations against him cannot be ignored. In such circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

12-03-2026

NSL

To

1. The District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Sholinganallur.
2. Central Prison, Puzhal.
3. The Inspector of Police, T-16, Semmanchery Police Station,
Chennai District.
4. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 6317 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

NSL

CRL OP No. 6317 of 2026

12-03-2026