



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.6507 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 13.03.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

Crl.O.P.No.6507 of 2026

K.Jyotish

... Petitioner

Vs.

State rep. by

The Inspector of Police,

V-5, Thirumangalam Police Station,

(Crime No.112 of 2026)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of arrest pending investigation in Crime No.112 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Madhan

For Respondent : Mr.P.Dhileepan

Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence **under Sections 296(b), 118(1) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.112 of 2026**, on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that due to wordy quarrel, the petitioner abused the defacto complainant with filthy language and also having enraged took the bottle lid on the road and assaulted the defacto



complainant and thereby the defacto complainant sustained severe injuries.

Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any such offence as alleged by the defacto complainant and he has been falsely implicated in this case and that the occurrence took place in view of the rash and negligent driving of the defacto complainant. He further submitted that he is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and he is ready to co-operate with the investigation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that the wordy quarrel leads in to the assault of the defacto complainant and the defacto complainant sustained simple injury and discharged from the hospital on the very same day.

5. Heard the submissions of the learned counsel on either side and also perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking into consideration the totality of the circumstances and the registration of FIR only on 02.01.2026 and at this length of time, no custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary. Hence, this Court is inclined to



enlarge him on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned XIII Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai**, on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)**, with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

C.KUMARAPPAN,J.,

ssi

(c) **The petitioner shall sign before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., and 5.30 p.m., for a period of one week and thereafter, as and when required for**



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.6507 of 2026

interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***;

(e) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

13.03.2026

ssi

To:

- 1.The XIII Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police, V-5, Thirumangalam Police Station,
- 3.The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.6507 of 2026