



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.6575 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 13.03.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

Crl.O.P.No.6575 of 2026

Vicky @ Vigneshwaran

... Petitioner/A4

Vs.

The State rep. by,
Inspector of Police,
T-12, Poonamallee Police Station,
Chennai.
(Crime No.746 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of arrest pending investigation in Crime No.746 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Senthil Kumar
For Respondent : Mr.P.Dhileepan
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence **under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 125, 309(4), 324(2), 311 & 351(3) of BNS, (@U/s.341, 294(b), 323, 336, 392, 426, 397, 506(ii) of IPC) in Crime No.746 of 2025**, on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner collected



mamool from the defacto complainant and when the same was refused, the petitioner along with other accused attacked the defacto complainant and robbed Rs.3,500/- from him at knife point. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any such offence as alleged by the defacto complainant and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that he is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and he is ready to co-operate with the investigation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and would strongly oppose to grant anticipatory bail application of the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner has got seven previous cases out of which, three cases registered under theft offences and two cases registered under the Narcotic Drugs offences.

5. Heard the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and also perused the materials available on record.



Crl.O.P.No.6575 of 2020

6. From the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side), it is clear that whenever this Court has granted bail to the petitioner, he has misused the liberty, subverted the course of justice, which inexorably forced to dismiss this application, as the petitioner does not deserve anticipatory bail.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

13.03.2026

ssi

To:

1.The Inspector of Police,
T-12, Poonamallee Police Station,
Chennai.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

ssi



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.6575 of 2026

Crl.O.P.No.6575 of 2026

13.03.2026

13.03.2026