



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.6205 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 11.03.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

Crl.O.P.No.6205 of 2026

Saravanan

... Petitioner

Vs.

State, represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Pathirvedu Police Station,
Thiruvallur District.
(Crime No.38 of 2026)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of arrest pending investigation in Crime No.38 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Chandrasekar
For Respondent : Mr.P.Dhileepan
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence **under Sections 296(b), 132, 324(3) of BNS in Crime No.38 of 2026**, on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner abused the



defacto complainant with filthy language and also tore the token from the defacto complainant. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any such offence as alleged by the defacto complainant and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is a farmer and that when he questioned the illegal acts in procuring centre in order to wreck vengeance they have filed the false case and that he is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court he is ready to co-operate with the investigation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that the said submission made by the petitioner counsel was strongly opposed by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) on the ground that the petitioner entered into the Government Office and tear it of the government records. He would further submit that no previous bad antecedents have been registered against the petitioner. However, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY

5. Heard the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and also perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the fact that the petitioner being a farmer and upon the fact that he is having no previous cases, and upon the fond hope that he would mend himself in future and would not come under the adverse notice of the respondent, this Court is inclined to enlarge him on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before **the learned Judicial Magistrate, Gummidipoondi**, on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)**, with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand



automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioner shall sign before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., and 5.30 p.m., for a period of thirty days and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***;

(e) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

11.03.2026

ssi

To:

1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Gummidipoondi.

4/6



Crl.O.P.No.6205 of 2020

2.The Inspector of Police,
Pathirvedu Police Station,
Thiruvallur District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

C.KUMARAPPAN,J.,

ssi



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.6205 of 2026

Crl.O.P.No.6205 of 2026

11.03.2026