WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-10-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL MP No. 4908 of 2026
in Crl.O.P.No.30771 of 2025

Thulasi
S/o. Ponnusamy Naiker
No.2/1 Thiyagi Logaiyya Street,
Saligramam,
Chennai-600 093.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Chandrasekar
   S/o.Govindasamy,
   3/338, Ponniamman Street,
   Vasinampattu,
   Thirupandiyur,
   Thiruvallur District.
2. State Rep by
   The Inspector of Police,
   Mappedu Police Station,
   Thiruvallur District
   Crime No.137/2025

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Miscellaneous Petition filed under Section 483(3) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, praying to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to the 1st Respondent in Crl O.P. No.30771/2025 dated 11-11-2025 and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. X.Selvam Sounder

For Respondent(s): Mr. R. Rajasekaran, Government Advocate for R2
Mr. P. Rajukumar Pandian for
Mr. ASHWIN FOR R1

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

ORDER

This Criminal Miscellaneous Petition has been filed seeking cancellation of the anticipatory bail granted to the first respondent by an order dated 11.11.2025 passed in Crl.O.P. No. 30771 of 2025.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner/de facto complainant submitted that the accused, Chandrasekar, had obtained anticipatory bail by misrepresenting that negotiations had taken place and a settlement had been arrived at between the parties, whereas no such negotiation or settlement had ever occurred. Therefore, he submitted that the anticipatory bail already granted is liable to be cancelled.

3. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

4. it is observed that, by an order dated 25.06.2026 passed in Crl.O.P. No. 28011 of 2025, the power agent of Chandrasekar was also granted anticipatory bail after considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case. It is further seen from the order dated 11.11.2025 that one of the factors considered for granting anticipatory bail was the alleged arrangement between A1 and the de facto complainant.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

5. Generally, to seek the cancellation of anticipatory bail, there must be a violation of the conditions imposed while granting bail. In the present case, no violation of any such condition has been alleged. The sole ground raised in this petition is the alleged misrepresentation regarding the arrangement said to have been entered into between the de facto complainant and A1.

6. In view of the above facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to cancel the anticipatory bail granted by the aforesaid order.

7. However, considering the long pendency of the matter and taking note of the submission made by the learned counsel for the respondent no.1 that the investigation is still pending, the respondent no.2/ Police is directed to complete the investigation and file the charge sheet/final report expeditiously, and in any event, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

8. With the above observations, this Criminal Miscellaneous Petition stands dismissed.

28-10-2026

MPA

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
   Mappedu Police Station,
   Thiruvallur District
   Crime No.137/2025

2. The Public Prosecutor
   High Court of Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

MPA

CRL MP No. 4908 of 2026
in Crl.O.P.No.30771 of 2025

28-10-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis