



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.6101 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.03.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

Crl.O.P.No.6101 of 2026

Alagu @ Alagar

... Petitioner/A2

Vs.

State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
B-3, Variety Hall Police Station,
Coimbatore District.
(Crime No.28 of 2026)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of arrest pending investigation in Crime No.28 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.M.N.Balakrishnan
For Respondent	:	Mr.P.Dhileepan Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence **under Sections 4(1) (A), 4(1) (C) of TNP (Amendment) Act r/w 24 of TNP Act** in **Crime No.28 of 2026**, on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that when the respondent police was



on regular patrol was found that the petitioner, along with others, were in illegal possession of IMFL liquor against the Liquor Rules and seized 148 liquor bottles of various brands. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has already got anticipatory bail in Crime No.9 of 2026 and that when he approached the police to comply the condition, again the respondent registered this present crime number against the petitioner and that this is a put-up case. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution's case and, would strongly oppose the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the sole ground that the petitioner has got 3 previous cases. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. No doubt, however, is premature to go in to the allegation of the petitioner. However, from the submission made by the learned Government



Crl.O.P.No.6101 of 2020

Advocate (Crl.Side), it is amply clear that the petitioner has misused the liberty granted by this Court. Hence, this Court is of the view that it is not desirable to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

10.03.2026

ssi

To:

1.The Inspector of Police,
Vellore Taluk Police Station,
Vellore District.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

C.KUMARAPPAN,J.,

ssi



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.6101 of 2026

Crl.O.P.No.6101 of 2026

10.03.2026