



Crl.O.P.No.6082 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.03.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

Crl.O.P.No.6082 of 2026

Bhuvaneswari

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State, Rep. by
Inspector of Police,
Kaveripakkam Police Station,
Ranipet District.
(Crime No.57 of 2026)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of arrest pending investigation in Crime No.57 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.H.Rambabu
For Respondent : Mr.P.Dhileepan
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence **under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023** corresponding offence **under Sections 294(b), 323, 506(i) IPC** in **Crime No.57 of 2026**, on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was wordy quarrel between



the petitioner and defacto complainant during temple festival, due to which the petitioner along with others assaulted the defacto complainant and caused injury. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and she has not committed any such offence as alleged by the defacto complainant and she has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that she is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and she is ready to co-operate with the investigation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution's case and, upon instructions, submitted that the occurrence took place on 27.02.2022 at about 11.00 p.m., and the nature of injury sustained by the victim is only simple injury and has been treated as outpatient. He would fairly submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. However, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the submission made by the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.



WEB COPY

6. From the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side), there is no previous case registered against the petitioner and therefore considering that there is no criminal antecedents against the petitioner and also, she being a women, this Court is inclined to enlarge her on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate-I, Walajapet**, on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)**, with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice,



2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioner shall sign before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., and 5.30 p.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***;

(e) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

10.03.2026

SSI

To:

1.The Judicial Magistrate-I,

4/6



Crl.O.P.No.6082 of 2020

Walajapet.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Kaveripakkam Police Station,
Ranipet District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

C.KUMARAPPAN,J.,

ssi



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.6082 of 2026

Crl.O.P.No.6082 of 2026

10.03.2026